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Executive Summary

## Executive Summary

This report summarises the Stage 2 Scheme Assessment for the improvement of the A2 Buncrana Road, Londonderry between Pennyburn Roundabout at the junction with Strand Road and Culmore Road and the border with the Republic of Ireland (Rol).

Three potential alignment options were developed between Pennyburn Roundabout and Skeoge Roundabout, and have been assessed in terms of cost, economics and environmental impact. The three routes in question are the Red Route (on-line), Blue Route (part off-line from Collon Terrace to Springtown Road, one way traffic west bound) and Purple Route (off-line between Collon Terrace to Springtown Road, two way traffic) Each option includes revised junction layouts and traffic signal arrangements where applicable.

Only one option, the Navy Route, has been examined between Skeoge Roundabout and the Border, as a number of factors combine to severely limit the potential route options over this section.

In terms of environmental impact, the Purple Route is favoured. No route is considered to be unacceptable.

In terms of cost, the Red Route is the cheapest option, followed by the Blue, with the Purple Route being the most expensive.

Economically, the Red Route outperforms the other options.

The report recommends that the Red Route be taken forward to Stage 3 for the Pennyburn Roundabout to Skeoge Roundabout section, and that the Navy Route be taken forward to Stage 3 between Skeoge Roundabout and the Border.


1 Introduction

## 1 Introduction

| 1.1 | Introduction |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1.1.1 | The A2 Buncrana Road widening scheme is identified in the Regional Strategic Transport <br> Network Transport Plan 2015 (RSTN TP). |
| 1.1.2 | Faber Maunsell was commissioned by Road Service by letter dated 6 April 2006 (ref RDS <br> 4/5/14/04) to develop proposals for the improvement to A2 Buncrana Road between Pennyburn <br> Roundabout in Londonderry and the border with the Republic of Ireland (Rol). |
| 1.1.3 | The brief for the commission is to investigate route options for upgrading the road to two lanes <br> in each direction, to report the assessment and appraisal of the options and to recommend a <br> Preferred Route. |
| This Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report (SAR) is in accordance with the Design Manual for <br> Roads and Bridges Volume 5 TD 37/93 Scheme Assessment Reporting. This standard states <br> that Stage 2 should identify the environmental, engineering, economic and traffic advantages, <br> disadvantages and constraints associated with broadly defined improvement strategies. |  |

### 1.2 Background

1.2.1 Recent traffic counts indicate that Buncrana Road carries in excess of 19,000 vehicles per day, and Branch Road Roundabout currently carries in excess of 3,000 vehicles per hour in the peak hour.
1.2.2 Many of the junctions on Buncrana Road suffer from saturation for long periods of the day. Recent improvements at Racecourse Road were only provided as a short term solution and this junction will quickly reach capacity through the expected increase in traffic volumes.

## 1.3 <br> Conclusions from Stage 1 Report

1.3.1 The Stage 1 Scheme Assessment Report dated September 2007 and the Addendum dated March 2008 reported on five options for Buncrana Road.
1.3.2 Red Route: On-line widening from Pennyburn Roundabout to Skeoge Roundabout including a new junction at Branch Road.
1.3.3 Brown Route: On-line widening identical to the Red Route but with the westbound carriageway from Pennyburn Pass to Racecourse Road off-line and to the south of the existing.
1.3.4 Blue Route: On-line widening identical to the Red Route but with the westbound carriageway from Pennyburn Pass to Springtown Road off-line and to the south of the existing.
1.3.5
1.3.6
1.3.7 The conclusions of the Stage 1 report recommended taking forward the Red, Blue, Purple and Navy Routes to Stage 2 assessment; the Brown Route was not to be considered further because it did not offer any significant advantages over the other options and was the option least favoured during consultation.


## 2 Existing Conditions

## 2 Existing Conditions

| 2.1 | Introduction |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2.1.1 | The following section of the report gives a brief overview of the existing route of the A2 <br> Buncrana Road. |
| 2.1.2 | The A2 Buncrana Road is an existing single carriageway road from Pennyburn Roundabout in <br> Londonderry at the junction with Strand Road and Culmore Road to the N2 at the border with <br> the Rol. The road runs in a southeast to northwest direction but for simplicity the road is <br> described as running from east, at Pennyburn Roundabout, to the west at the Rol border. The <br> road has been sub-divided into four sections for simplicity. |
| 2.1.3 | From Pennyburn Roundabout to Racecourse Road, a distance of 0.6km, Buncrana Road is <br> within an urban environment with retail and residential properties fronting directly onto the <br> carriageway. |
| From Racecourse Road to Branch Roundabout, a distance of 1.3km, and from Branch Road to <br> Skeoge Roundabout, a distance of 1.1km, Buncrana Road is semi rural with side roads, <br> entrances to industrial \& retail outlets, playing fields and a few residential properties but <br> generally with wide verges that provide a semi rural environment. |  |
| 2.1.5From Skeoge Roundabout to Rol border, a distance of 1.3km, Buncrana Road is in a rural <br> environment with a small number of side roads and farm accesses and one business entrance <br> onto the road. <br> Skeoge Roundabout is a recently constructed roundabout which forms the start of the A515 |  |
| Skeoge Road Link, a bypass to the north of Londonderry. This roundabout has been |  |
| constructed to tie in with the proposed future widening of Buncrana Road, and part of the |  |
| carriageway surfacing is cordoned off to tie in with the current layout. |  |

Figure 2.1, included in Appendix B of this report, shows the existing road layout.

## 2.2 <br> Pennyburn Roundabout to Racecourse Road

| 2.2.1 | From Pennyburn Roundabout to Racecourse Road, Buncrana Road is a single carriageway <br> with a minimum width of 9 m and maximum of 10m. At Pennyburn Roundabout the westbound <br> carriageway widens to form two queuing lanes onto the roundabout. 50 m east of Racecourse <br> Road, Buncrana Road again widens to form three westbound lanes for queuing at the <br> Racecourse Road signal controlled junction. Side roads off Buncrana Road include Maybrook <br> Mews, Pennyburn Pass, Farren Park, St Francis Terrace and two dropped kerb entrances into <br> St. Patrick's Church. A number of residential and two industrial properties front the carriageway. <br> Although right turns are permitted there are no dedicated right turn facilities within this section <br> of Buncrana Road. The speed limit on this section is 30mph. |
| :---: | :--- |
| 2.2.2Footpaths exist on both sides of the carriageway; generally 2.2 m wide on the north side and <br> 1.9m wide on the south side. There is a dedicated pedestrian refuge at the west entrance to St <br> Patrick's Church.$\quad$There are two bus stops along this section; one is located on the eastbound carriageway to the <br> east of the church, the other, complete with lay-by, is located on the westbound carriageway <br> opposite Maybrook Mews. |  |
| 2.2.3 $\quad$A car park is located adjacent to the westbound carriageway, with capacity for approximately 50 <br> vehicles. Ownership of this car park has not been confirmed. |  |


| 2.3 | Racecourse Road to Branch Roundabout |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2.3.1 | For 200 m east of Racecourse Road, Buncrana Road is within an urban environment. The environment is semi rural with landscaped verges and reduced direct frontage onto Buncrana Road. The speed limit of Buncrana Road increases from 30 mph to 40 mph over the majority of this section. |
| 2.3.2 | From Racecourse Road to Branch Road, Buncrana Road is a single carriageway with a varying width from 9 m and 13 m . There is a central ghost island for the full length except at the following: <br> - Gaps within the ghost island for right turning traffic occur at Springtown Road, St Columb's College entrance, Balliniska Road, The Hawthorns and the Seagate entrance. <br> - Between Shandon Park and St Johns Park approximately, Buncrana Road is a wide single carriageway without ghost island. <br> - In advance of Branch Road a central reservation separates the carriageways: <br> - The westbound carriageway widens to form two queues at Branch Roundabout. <br> - The eastbound carriageway is two lanes from the exiting Branch Roundabout and narrows to 1 lane at the entrance to the B\&Q retail park east of Branch Roundabout. In addition there is a dedicated off-slip into $B \quad Q$ retail park. |
| 2.3 .3 | For 50m approaching Racecourse Road the eastbound carriageway widens to three lanes for queuing at the traffic signals; the left hand lane being left turn only. |
| 2.3 .4 | From Racecourse Road to St. John's Park the footpath on the north side varies from 1.2 m to 1.8 m . This footpath widens up to 6 m in width from St John's Park to the B\&Q entrance. There is a footpath on the south side that varies in width and is up to 4 m wide. |
| 2.3 .5 | Pedestrian refuges occur 50m west of Messines Park, between Springtown Road and St John's Park, at St Columb's College and at B Q. With the exception of the crossing at B Q, existing tactile paving layouts do not conform to current standards. |
| 2.3 .6 | Bus stops and shelters are situated 30 m east of Shandon Park eastbound and at St Columb's College westbound. Bus stops are also located at the following locations: <br> - Westbound near Collon Bar. <br> - Westbound between Balliniska Road and the employee entrance to Seagate. <br> - Eastbound at the entrance to The Hawthorns. |
| 2.3.7 | Between Racecourse Road and Branch Roundabout, there are several side roads and property/building accesses directly onto Buncrana Road and are described as follows: <br> - On the southern side, opposite the entrance to St Johns Park and Racecourse Road there are 10 residential and retail entrances directly on to Buncrana Road. <br> - There is an entrance and separate exit into St Columb's College. <br> - On the northern side there is a narrow access into The Hawthorns housing estate. <br> - On the southern side there is an employee access into Seagate. <br> - There is a narrow exit on the southern side for the Ulster Science and Technology Park (USTP) which allows left out movements only towards Branch Roundabout. |

## $2.4 \quad$ Branch Roundabout to Skeoge Roundabout

2.4.1 | Within the semi rural section from Branch Roundabout to Skeoge Roundabout the speed limit |
| :--- |
| along Buncrana Road is 40 mph . With the exception of a 100 m stretch to the west of Skeoge |
| Roundabout (which was constructed as part of Skeoge Roundabout and therefore widened to |
| accommodate future widening of Buncrana Road) this section of Buncrana Road is a single |
| carriageway varying in width from 7.3 m to 10.5 m . There is a central ghost island for the full |
| length and gaps for right turning traffic into Collon Lane, Templegrove, the Spar Market, Upper |
| Galliagh Road and retail units east of the Skeoge Roundabout. |

| 2.4.2 | There is a footway on both sides of the carriageway except between the vehicle entrance and exit to the retail units east of the Skeoge Roundabout. The width of this footpath varies from 1.6 m to 4 m wide on the north side and 1.2 m to 4 m on the south side. These footpaths have dropped kerbs at the side road junctions, but without tactile paving. There are two small pedestrian refuges, one situated between Templegrove and Collon Lane and the other east of Upper Galliagh Road. A signal-controlled pedestrian crossing is situated between Branch Roundabout and Collon Lane. The controlled crossing has red coloured tactile paving that conforms to current standards. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2.4 .3 | Between Collon Lane and Upper Galliagh Road, along the northern side of Buncrana Road, is situated the Templemore Sports Complex. This complex has sports fields located adjacent to Buncrana Road. There is an entrance into a large asphalt surfaced area on the northern side opposite the Spar market, which is currently used as a car park. On the southern side is a small Spar market with off-road customer parking adjacent to Buncrana Road. |
| 2.4.4 | There is a bus stop and shelter on the eastbound carriageway 50 m west of the junction with Templegrove, a bus stop with lay-by and shelter located opposite Collon Lane on the westbound carriageway and a bus stop westbound between Templegrove and Whitehouse Road. |
| 2.4.5 | Other features of note include: <br> - There are three private property accesses (one single access 100 m east of the junction with Whitehouse Road and one double access approximately 160 m eastwards). The single access is located adjacent to the large asphalt surfaced area on the northern side opposite the Spar market. The double access is located on the southern side approximately midway between the Spar market and Templegrove. <br> - There is a field access almost directly opposite the eastern entrance to the retail units east of Skeoge Roundabout, with a dropped kerb. <br> - There are no turning restrictions to and from any side roads between the Skeoge Roundabout and Branch Roundabout along the Buncrana Road. <br> - Collon Lane serves only one residential property, and provides no through road or access to the sports complex. There are removable bollards approximately 25 m from the junction with Buncrana Road to prevent any vehicle access. |

## Skeoge Roundabout to Rol Border

2.5.1 This rural section of Buncrana Road has a speed limit of 60 mph , changing to 100 kph at the border with the Rol. The carriageway width varies from 7.7 m to 10.9 m .
2.5.2 From Skeoge Roundabout, Buncrana Road is a single carriageway with hardstrip on the eastbound between Elagh Road and the Rol border. The carriageway widens to form a ghost island with a right turn refuge into Elagh Business Park.
2.5.3 From the business park entrance the road narrows to a wide single with a hardstrip westbound to the border with the Rol. There is also a diverge slip for eastbound vehicles turning left into the business park.
2.5.4 At the Border there is a large westbound lay-by 160 m long, 7.3 m wide with tapers of 25 m at the eastern end and 7 m at the western end.
2.5.5 Also from the Border, there are segregated cycleway and footway facilities on the northern side to a bus stop approximately 80 m east of the junction with Benview Road. The width of this combined footway/cycleway is 3 m . On the southern side there is a footpath of width varying from 1.7 m to 2.4 m from the lay-by adjacent to the Rol Border to Skeoge Roundabout.
East of the access to Elagh Business Park, there is a junction with substandard stagger into Elagh Road and Benview Road respectively. There is one private access to the dwelling of 'Derryowen' directly from Buncrana Road 50m east of the junction with Benview Road.

There are no turning restrictions to and from side roads between Skeoge Roundabout and the Rol border.


## 3 Alternative Schemes

## 3

 Alternative Schemes3.3.1 This Blue Route option is the same as the Red Route option except between Pennyburn Pass and just west of Springtown Road.
3.3.2 Between Pennyburn Pass and Springtown Road eastbound traffic would travel along the existing Buncrana Road. Westbound traffic would use a new off-line section at the rear of Collon Terrace, between Collon Bar and the bus depot, to the rear of the print works and car wash to rejoin Buncrana Road approximately 300 m west of Springtown Road. New junctions would be provided along the off-line westbound carriageway at Racecourse Road and Springtown Road. This option would require the demolition of the disused Ulster Ceramics factory but not residential properties at Collon Terrace.
3.3.3 The on-line section between Pennyburn Pass and 300 m west of Springtown Road carrying only eastbound traffic would be largely unchanged.
3.3.4 Left or right turn access and egress would be provided at all current locations onto the one-way stretches of Buncrana Road..
3.3.5 There are a number of other options that can be incorporated into the design. These are similar to those listed for the Red Route.

## $3.4 \quad$ Purple Route

3.4.1 The Purple Route option is the same as the Blue Route option except that between Pennyburn Pass and 300 m west of Springtown Road, all through traffic would be off-line and follow the same route as the Blue Route option.
The existing Buncrana Road would be stopped 280m west of Springtown Road and Maybrook Mews except for access and egress by public transport and non-motorised users. The existing, stopped-up section of Buncrana Road would remain for local traffic. New junctions on the offline route at Racecourse Road and Springtown Road would connect to the "local" section of Buncrana Road. This option would require the demolition of the disused Ulster Ceramics factory and adjacent plumbers merchants but not residential properties at Collon Terrace.
3.4.3 Access and egress would be provided at all current locations.
3.4.4 There are a number of other options that can be incorporated into the design. These are similar to those listed for the Red Route.

## Navy Route

3.5.1

This is the only option being considered for the rural section from Skeoge Roundabout to the Rol border. The proposal is for a two lane dual carriageway from Skeoge Roundabout to Elagh Roundabout and a new roundabout into Elagh Business Park. West of Elagh Roundabout the existing carriageway would be widened to a four lane carriageway to the border with the Rol, albeit that part of the carriageway would be 'cordoned-off' to tie in with the current single carriageway section immediately across the Border. A new link would connect Elagh Roundabout to Coshquin Road. Benview Road would be closed to traffic entering Buncrana Road. Traffic from the Benview estate and the new developments around the Benview estate would join Buncrana Road from the new link road off Coshquin Road. There would also be a left in left out connection to the future H1 development between Elagh Roundabout and Skeoge Roundabout. The current T junction of Elagh Road and Buncrana Road would be stopped up and a new link provided by one of the following options:

- Sub option 1: a connection from the east of Elagh Business Park to Elagh Road.
- Sub option 2: a longer connector road through the centre of Elagh Business Park to Elagh Road.
- Sub option 3: a connector road from the west of Elagh Business Park to Elagh Road.
- Sub option 4: a connector road from the H1 housing development to Elagh Road.
3.5.2

Full pedestrian or combined pedestrian and cyclist facilities would be provided along Buncrana Road with uncontrolled crossings at Elagh Roundabout and Skeoge Roundabout.


4 Cost Estimates

## 4 <br> Cost Estimates

| 4.1 | Assumptions with the Cost Estimate |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4.1.1 | The construction cost for the Red, Blue, Purple and Navy Routes have been calculated using typical 2008 Q2 rates from schemes of similar size supplemented with rates taken from SPONS Price Book. |
| 4.1.2 | The scheme cost for the Navy Route includes Sub Option 4 described in Section 3. |
| 4.1.3 | The following is a summary of assumptions used in the estimate: <br> - Contractor's preliminaries assumed at $25 \%$ including traffic management. <br> - There is an assumption that the highway drainage would discharge into the current drainage system with attenuation to capture the run-off from the increased hard surfacing. <br>  <br> - Pavement overlay has been assumed. Strengthening of the existing pavement has not been \& assumed although an allowance for strengthening is included in the risk register. <br> - It has been assumed that the section from Pennyburn Roundabout to Skeoge Roundabout would be lit with new lighting columns and cabling works. <br> - Ancillary works in Table 4.2 below includes Statutory Undertakers' diversions and landscape and ecology. <br> - Statutory Undertakers C2 returns in accordance with the Northern Ireland Roads Authority and Utilities Committee (NIRAUC) Code of Practice have been received. C3 estimates would be requested in Stage 3. A reasonable allowance has been included in the construction estimate and risk register. <br> - The scheme does not include a high structural content. Skeoge Culvert may require localised slabbing and some retaining walls have been included. Relocation of Skeoge Culvert has not been included. <br> - Estimates of land costs were provided by Land and Property Services in September 2008. |
| 4.1.4 | A Risk and Value Engineering Workshop was undertaken on 23 June 2008. The minimum, most likely and maximum costs and probability of the residue risks identified at the workshop have been assessed and input the into the Highways Agency's Risk Model HARM. This carries out a Monte Carlo risk assessment of all the residue risks. A 50 confidence factor has been taken to value the risk in this report. |
| 4.1.5 | Preparation costs have been taken as $12 \%$ of construction and land cost. Supervision costs were taken as 5 . These percentages are in accordance with defaults assumed within the COBA program. |

## 4.2 <br> Optimism Bias

4.2.1 The purpose of Optimism Bias is described in HM Treasury "Green Book" dated 2003. The
Optimism Bias used on this scheme was 20 for both construction and land. The calculation
justifying the 20\% is shown in Table 4.1 using the recommendations from the "Supplementary
Green Book Guidance".

Table 4.1 Calculation for Optimism Bias

|  | Standard Civil Eng Works 44 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Procurement | Complexity of Contract Structure Late Contractor Involvement in Design <br> Poor Contractor Capabilities Government Guidelines Dispute and Claims Occurred Information Management Other (specify) | $3$ $21$ | 2 8 |
| Project Specific | Design Complexity Degree of Innovation Environmental Impact Other (specify) | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | 10 9 |
| Client Specific | Inadequacy of the Business Case <br> Large Number of Stakeholders <br> Funding Availability <br> Project Management Team <br> Poor Project Intelligence <br> Other (specify) | 10 7 | 3 3 |
| Environment | Public Relations <br> Site Characteristics <br> Permits / Consents / Approvals <br> Other (specify) | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 6 1 |
| External Influences | Political <br> Economic <br> Legislation / Regulations <br> Technology <br> Other (specify) | 7 | 2 |
|  | Total | 100 | 44 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{OB}=$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |

4.3

Cost Summary
4.3.1 The scheme costs at (2008 Q3 prices) together with a breakdown are shown in Table 4.2.

The Navy option is the only option for the section Skeoge roundabout to Rol border. The total scheme costs are shown in Table 4.3.

Table 4.2 Summary of Scheme Costs

|  | RED ROUTE <br> £ million | BLUE ROUTE <br> £ million | PURPLE <br> ROUTE <br> £ million | NAVY ROUTE <br> £ million |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction | 6.719 | 7.318 | 7.500 | 4.876 |
| Prelims \& TM | 1.490 | 1.605 | 1.645 | 1.220 |
| Ancillary Works | 1.195 | 1.113 | 1.014 | 0.832 |
| Risk | 4.028 | 5.000 | 5.004 | 1.032 |
| Design and supervision (17 ) | 2.786 | 3.232 | 3.713 | 1.533 |
| Land Costs | 6.984 | 8.976 | 11.680 | 2.090 |
| Optimism Bias (20 ) | 4.640 | 5.449 | 6.111 | 2.316 |
| TOTAL | 27.842 | 32.693 | 36.667 | 13.899 |

Table 4.3 Total scheme capital works costs

| RED+NAVY ROUTE | BLUE+NAVY ROUTE | PURPLE+NAVY ROUTE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $£ 41.738 \mathrm{~m}$ | $£ 46.588 \mathrm{~m}$ | $£ 50.562 \mathrm{~m}$ |



5 Engineering Assessment

## 5 Engineering Assessment

### 5.1 Design Standards

5.1.1 Urban carriageway standards have been used for the Red, Blue and Purple Routes and rural carriageway standards for the Navy Route. These are summarised in Table 5.1.
Table 5.1 Summary of Highway Standards used in the Design

| Route Option | Section | Standard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red Route | Pennyburn Roundabout to Branch Roundabout | Single 4 (S4) AP Urban Road <br> 17.6 m Carriageway ( $4 \times 3.65 \mathrm{~m}$ lanes) <br> 3.0m Ghost Island <br> 3.0m Footway/Cycleway x 2 |
|  | Branch Roundabout to Skeoge Roundabout | Single 4 (S4) AP Urban Road <br> 17.6m Carriageway ( $4 \times 3.65 \mathrm{~m}$ lanes) <br> 3.0 m Ghost Island <br> 3.75 m Footway/Cycleway x 2 |
| Blue Route | Pennyburn Roundabout to Maybrook Mews | Single 4 (S4) AP Urban Road <br> 17.6 m Carriageway ( $4 \times 3.65 \mathrm{~m}$ lanes) <br> 3.0 m Ghost Island <br> 3.0m Footway/Cycleway x 2 |
|  | Maybrook Mews to Springtown Road | Dual 2 AP Urban Road <br> 7.3m carriageway on-line eastbound and off-line westbound carriageway <br> Varies - Footway/Cycleway x4 (3m min) |
|  | Springtown Road to Skeoge Roundabout | Single 4 (S4) AP Urban Road <br> 17.6m Carriageway ( $4 \times 3.65 \mathrm{~m}$ lanes) <br> 3.0 m Ghost Island <br> 3.75m Footway/Cycleway x 2 |
| Purple Route | Pennyburn Roundabout to Maybrook Mews | Single 4 (S4) AP Urban Road <br> 17.6 m Carriageway ( $4 \times 3.65 \mathrm{~m}$ lanes) <br> 3.0 m Ghost Island <br> 3.0m Footway/Cycleway x 2 |
|  | Maybrook Mews to Springtown Road | Single 4 (S4) AP Urban Road <br> 7.3m carriageway each carriageway <br> 3.0 m - Footway/Cycleway x4 |
|  | Springtown Road to Skeoge Roundabout | Single 4 (S4) AP Urban Road <br> 17.6m Carriageway ( $4 \times 3.65 \mathrm{~m}$ lanes) <br> 3.0 m Ghost Island <br> 3.75m Footway/Cycleway x 2 |


| Route Option | Section | Standard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Navy Route | Skeoge Roundabout to Elagh Roundabout | Dual 2 AP Rural Road <br> 7.3m Carriageway x 2 <br> 1.0 m Hard strips $\times 2$ <br> 4.5 m Central Reserve <br> 4.0m Footway/cycleway x 2 |
|  | Elagh Roundabout to Border | Single 4 (S4) AP Rural Road <br> 14.6m Carriageway ( $4 \times 3.65 \mathrm{~m}$ ) <br> 1.0m Hard strips x 2 <br> 4.0m Footway/Cycleway x 2 |

5.2 Departures from Standard
5.2.1 The design of Buncrana Road complies with TD9/93 Link Design. Junction designs and the carriageway cross sections are not finalised, therefore compliance with these design standards has not been investigated. This applies equally to all four options and does not impact on the Preferred Route decision. Full junction design would be carried out in Stage 3 together with the identification of any Departures from Standard.

### 5.3 Effect on Accesses

5.3.1 Generally, the design of existing side road junctions has been undertaken to allow identical movements to those that are currently allowable. However, final junctions layouts will be examined in more detail at Stage 3.

## Public Transport Option

5.4.1 Generally the Red, Blue and Purple options do not provide any specific public transport provision however a number of scenarios have been examined, ranging from taking out part of the proposed two lanes to providing a third lane in each direction as a bus lane, and including a number of measures between these two extremes. The benefits associated with public transport provision, particularly bus priority, require further investigation in Stage 3 once the Preferred Route has been identified however all options can be tailored to include measures to decrease journey times and improved journey time reliability for buses, and it is not considered that this issue has a significant impact on the selection of a Preferred Route.

## 5.5 <br> Existing Pavement

5.5.1 The existing carriageway has not been tested. A visual examination has identified minor cracking, poor statutory undertakers' reinstatement work and worn skid resistance surfacing but no major defects. For the pavement to be overlaid to a 20 year design life, a full set of pavement tests would need to be undertaken.

## 5.6 <br> Recent Improvements to Buncrana Road

5.6.1 Two junctions have been improved over the last few years:

- The junction at Racecourse Road has been widened to provide more stacking lanes at the signals.
- A newly constructed roundabout has been constructed at Skeoge Roundabout. This roundabout forms the southern junction for the A515 Skeoge Link which was opened earlier this year. Skeoge Roundabout has been constructed to accommodate future widening of Buncrana Road.


## 5.7 <br> Structures

5.7.1 There are three retaining walls, three known culverts under Buncrana Road and Skeoge Culvert which runs parallel to Buncrana Road from Pennyburn Roundabout to Skeoge Roundabout.
5.7.2 The three retaining walls are located at:

- Pennyburn Roundabout to Pennyburn Pass on the south side of Buncrana Road.
- From St Patrick's Church entrance to Maybrook Mews on the north side of the road.
- At B Q car park on the north side of Buncrana Road.
5.7.3 The culverts are located:
- 2no. between Whitehouse Road and Skeoge Roundabout.
- 1no. at 3 Flowers Cottage.


## Skeoge Culvert

5.7.4 From Pennyburn Roundabout to Skeoge Roundabout, Skeoge Culvert is to the north of Buncrana Road except between Maybrook Mews and Springtown Road where it crosses Buncrana Road and follows the route of the Blue and Purple off-line section.
5.7.5 This culvert may require a protective slab where it is under the future road.
5.8 Geotechnical Considerations

## Soils

5.8.1 Buncrana Road lies within a corridor known as the 'Pennyburn Depression'. This is a major glacial feature of the Londonderry area forming a valley with sand and gravel deposits towards the River Foyle.
5.8.2 Geological maps indicate drift deposits through the road corridor to be alluvial (clay/silts) and glaciofluvial deposits (sands/silts) with glacial sands and gravels present at both ends of the scheme. Glacial till or rock at the surface bounds either side of the road corridor with rock occasionally showing at the surface.
5.8.3 Historical borehole data shows the built up areas between Pennyburn Roundabout and Branch Roundabout to be made ground consisting of loose sand and gravel with cinders, brick and wood for the first 3.5 m below ground level.
5.8.4 Below the made ground the underlying natural soils are generally silty sand and gravels extending to the rockhead. Occasionally areas of peat have also been found around Pennyburn Roundabout, St Columb's College, Branch Roundabout and the playing fields west of the Branch Roundabout.
5.8.5 The depth of peat excavated at the recently constructed Skeoge Roundabout was between 2.7 m to a maximum of 5.6 m below ground level.
5.8.6 Geological maps show glacial till drumlins and moundy glaciofluvial deposits (sand and gravels) to be the predominant drift feature between Skeoge Roundabout and the Rol border.

## Rock

5.8.7 Geological maps indicate that the solid geology is a mixture of psammite and pelite and schistose of the Londonderry formation. This rock is unlikely to have undergone coal workings.

Historical boreholes confirm that the underlying rock is highly weathered schist. The depth of this rock is shallow in parts but is generally found between 2 m to 4 m below ground level.

## Foundations

5.8.8 Except for shallow retaining walls and the protection for Skeoge Culvert no other structures are anticipated. Surface deposits are anticipated to be shallow and should avoid the need for deep foundations.
5.8.9 A carriageway constructed on 350 mm of capping with removal of the local peat deposits and construction of conventional filter drains would be adequate for the anticipated soils deposits.

## Import and export of material

5.8.10 It is unlikely that site won material would be adequate for fill below ground level although the excavated material could be used to form verges and bunding. There would be a need to take material off site.
5.8.11 From historical Ordnance Survey plans and a site walkover survey, the following areas were identified as possibly containing contaminated material:

- The site of the former Pennyburn Locomotive Works.
-. Several existing and former Petrol Filling Stations and a former vehicle servicing garage.
- Existing tanks associated with the factory north west of the Pennyburn Pass sideroad.
- Derry Journal Printing Works.
-. Existing tanks associated with the factory at Balliniska Business Park.
- Electrical Substation at Balliniska Business Park.


6 Environmental Assessment

## 6 Environmental Assessment

## Introduction

6.1.1 This section summarises the Stage 2 environmental assessment work carried out for the proposed improvements to the A2 Buncrana Road.
6.1.2 At Stage 2 the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) assessment requires a detailed assessment of the route options to identify the Preferred Route to study in more detail. This report would be followed by a Stage 3 Scheme Assessment Report which includes a full Environmental Impact Assessment of the Preferred Route. There are twelve categories of assessment. Each route option is ranked in preference for each category, giving overall comparative rankings for the route options.
6.1.3 The following is a summary of the environmental studies conducted at Stage 2. All studies were undertaken in compliance with the requirements of the DMRB, Volume 11, and with reference to the internet based Transport Analysis Guidance (WebTAG).
6.1.4 Air Quality - A two stage assessment, with a map comprising of:

1) assessment of the local air quality via an estimation of how pollutant concentration will change as a result of the proposals.
2) a regional assessment examining the change in emissions of a range of pollutants as a result of the scheme on a regional, national and international scale. In addition a WebTAG assessment was used to gauge overall changes in area quality.
6.1.5 Cultural Heritage - A map and schedule of the number of cultural heritage sites including historic buildings and structures, archaeological sites and industrial heritage sites in proximity to the proposed road works was produced.
6.1.6 Disruption Due to Construction - An assessment of transient environmental impacts as a result of the construction phase was undertaken. This includes a quantitative statement of the number of properties within 100 m of each possible route option, highlighting any sensitive properties or sites.
Ecology and Nature Conservation - An assessment was undertaken which included habitat, mammal, bird and amphibian site surveys, assessment of the impacts on adjacent designated ecological sites and non-designated areas of nature conservation interest directly or indirectly affected by the route options.
6.1.8 Landscape and Visual - An assessment of landscape, townscape and visual impacts of the proposed route options was undertaken.
6.1.9 Land Use - An assessment was made of the number of potential demolitions of private and public properties resulting from the scheme. This includes a preliminary assessment of how businesses in the area will be affected by the proposed route options.
6.1.10 Traffic Noise and Vibration - An assessment of each route option, with a map noting properties within a 300 m wide strip, split into distance bands of $0-50 \mathrm{~m}, 50-100 \mathrm{~m}, 100-200 \mathrm{~m}$ and $200-$ 300 m was undertaken. Ambient and predicted noise levels were noted for noise sensitive locations and information on airborne, traffic-induced vibration for unscreened buildings within 40 m of the existing and proposed routes. A statement of change in noise environment for the local population was also included.
6.1.11

Pedestrians, Cyclists, Equestrians and Community Effects - An assessment was undertaken of potential impacts based on location of community facilities and pedestrian routes.
6.1.12 Vehicle Travellers - An assessment based on views from the proposed road and on driver stress levels for the scheme, derived from existing traffic data was undertaken.

| 6.1.13 | Water Quality and Drainage - An assessment was made of the routine runoff to surface water and ground water and the pollution impacts from accidental spillages. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 6.1.14 | Geology and Soils - An assessment was completed based on perceived magnitude of impact of the route options to mineral deposits, agricultural soil, hydrogeology and geologically important sites. |
| 6.1.15 | Policies and Plans - The identification of key current policies or proposals within the study area was undertaken and an assessment was made of the impacts of the proposed route options on the achievement of the policy objectives. |
| 6.1.16 | The issues arising from these chapters have been plotted on the associated Stage 2 drawings, extracted from the separate DMRB Environmental Report (Stage 2 Environmental Assessment Report for A2 Buncrana Road) and reproduced in Appendix B of this report: <br> - Air Quality - Figures 5.1 to 5.4 <br> - Cultural Heritage - Figures 6.1 to 6.4 <br> - Disruption Due to Construction - No figures <br> - Ecology and Nature Conservation - Figures 8.1.1 8.1.2 <br> - Landscape and Visual - Figures 9.1 (Sheets $1 \quad$ 2), 9.2 (Sheets $1 \quad$ 2), 9.3 (Sheets 1 to 4) <br> - Land Use - Figures 10.1 \& 10.2 <br> - Traffic Noise and Vibration - Figures 11.1 to 11.4 <br> - Pedestrians, Cyclists, Equestrians and Community Effects - Figure 12.1 <br> - Vehicle Travellers - No figures <br> - Water Quality and Drainage - Figure 14.1 <br> - Geology and Soils - Figures 15.1 to 15.4 <br> - Policies and Plans - No figures |
| 6.2 \% | Consultations |
| 6.2.1 | Written consultations have been conducted with the following organisations: <br> - Association of Community Groups <br> - Bord Gais Éireann (Northern Ireland) <br> - British Telecom <br> - British Trust for Ornithology <br> - Cable Wireless Communications Ltd. <br> - Centre for Environmental Data and Recording <br> - Confederation of British Industry <br> - Council for Nature Conservation the Countryside <br> - DARD - Director of Policy <br> - DARD - Fisheries and Rural Policy Division <br> - DARD Environmental Policy Branch <br> - DARD Forest Service <br> - Loughs Agency <br> - DARD - Quality Assurance <br> - DARD Rivers Agency (Coleraine Office) <br> - DARD Rivers Agency (Headquarters) <br> - DARD Rivers Agency (Western Regional Office) <br> - DCAL Central Management <br> - Fisheries Conservancy Board <br> - DCAL Inland Fisheries <br> - DCAL Inland Waterways <br> - DCAL River Bush Salmon Station <br> - Department of Education - Development Branch <br> - Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety <br> - Derry City Council - Chief Executive <br> - Derry City Council - City Engineer <br> - Derry City Council - Community Services <br> - Derry City Council - Environmental Health Department <br> - Derry City Council - Recreational and Leisure Services |

- DETI Geological Survey of Northern Ireland
- DETI Invest Northern Ireland
- DOE Planning Service - Landscape Architect Branch
- DOE Northern Ireland Environment Agency Water Management Unit
- DOE Northern Ireland Environment Agency Historic Buildings Historic Monuments
- DOE Northern Ireland Environment Agency Environmental Protection
- DOE Northern Ireland Environment Agency Natural Heritage
- DOE Northern Ireland Environment Agency Waste \& Contaminated Land Unit
- DOE Planning Environmental Policy Group
- DOE Planning Service (Minerals Unit)
- DOE Planning Service (Special Studies Unit)
- DOE Planning Service Headquarters
- Donegal County Council (Inishowen Public Services Centre, Inishowen, Co. Donegal)
- Donegal County Council (Area Manager of Roads and Transportation, Lifford, Co. Donegal)
- Donegal County Council (Planning Department, Letterkenny, Co. Donegal)
- DRD Roads Service (Section Engineer)
- DRD Roads Service (Street Lighting Engineer)
- DRD Roads Service (Traffic Engineer)
- DRD Roads Service (Transportation Planning Branch)
- DRD Roads Service (Lands \& Legislation Branch)
- Department of Social Development (Headquarters)
- DSD Regional Development Office (Western Office)
- DSD Regional Development Office (Headquarters)
- Eircom (NI) Ltd.
- Federation of Small Businesses
- Firmus Energy
- Foyle Fisheries Commission
- Foyle, Carlingford Irish Lights Commission
- Freight Transport Association
- Health and Safety Executive
- Historic Buildings Council
- Historic Monuments Council
- Irish Business \& Employers Confederation (IBEC)
- Londonderry and Lough Swilly Railway Company
- Mobilise
- National Roads Authority (National Roads Design Office)
- Northern Ireland Electricity
- Northern Ireland Bat Group
- Northern Ireland Council for Voluntary Action
- Northern Ireland Fire and Rescue Service (Headquarters)
- Northern Ireland Tourist Board
- Northern Ireland Water
- Northwest Development Office
- NTL Communications
- Ordnance Survey of Northern Ireland
- Phoenix Natural Gas
- PSNI Road Management Unit
- Quercus, Queen's University Belfast
- RAC Motoring Services Ltd.
- River Faughan Anglers Ltd
- Royal Society for the Protection of Birds
- Sustrans Northern Ireland
- The Automobile Association
- The Housing Executive
- The Londonderry Chamber of Commerce
- The National Trust
- The Roe Valley Chamber of Trade \& Commerce
- The Wildfowl and Wetlands Trust
- The Woodland Trust
- Translink
- Ulster Angling Federation \&
- Ulster Farmers Union \&
- Ulster Wildlife Trust \&
- Valuation Service (Land \& Property Services) \&
- Virgin Media, Plant Enquiries Team \&
- Western Education Library Board \&
6.2.2 Copies of the responses received from the bodies consulted are included in the DMRB Environmental Report (Stage 2 Environmental Assessment Report for A2 Buncrana Road). A summary of the responses received is also contained within Appendix $C$ of this report.
$6.3 \% \quad$ Study Methodology and Data Sources


## Data Gathering

6.3.1 In order to complete the DMRB Stage 2 assessment, desktop studies were undertaken in combination with a range of site visits, walkover and drive over surveys.
6.3.2 Additional information was gathered from a range of sources including: Ordnance Survey maps and aerial photography, consultation with statutory and non-statutory bodies, museum records, documentary and cartographic records, and from published documentation.

## Appraisal of Data

6.3.3 Data gathered was used to complete the Stage 2 assessment according to DMRB Volume 11, Part 3. Whereas the Stage 1 assessments were primarily desktop based, detailed desktop studies and/or site visits and surveys were carried out for all Stage 2 assessments. Surveys ranged from detailed surveys to site walkovers and roadside surveys.
6.3.4 Site visits and/or surveys were undertaken for the following assessments: Cultural Heritage; Disruption Due to Construction; Ecology and Nature Conservation; Landscape Effects; Land Use; Pedestrians, Cyclists, Equestrians and Community Effects; and Vehicle Travellers.
Site visits were not carried out for the Air Quality; Traffic Noise and Vibration; Road Drainage and Water Environment; and Geology and Soils assessments. The methodology for the Air Quality; and Traffic Noise and Vibration assessments is primarily based on calculations and therefore no site visits were necessary. Field surveys for the Road Drainage and the Water Environment assessment are not requited unless there is insufficient baseline data to undertake an assessment of the impacts. Site investigation for Geology and Soils is required only where a contaminated site may be impacted.

## Route Options

The route options considered in this report are summarised in Section 3 Alternative Schemes.

### 6.4 E Environmental Scheme Appraisal

6.4.1 The following sections give a short précis summarising the environmental assessments. Full text can be found in the DMRB Environmental Report (Stage 2 Environmental Assessment Report for A2 Buncrana Road).

## Air Quality

6.4.2 Property counts have been undertaken within a distance of 200 m from the proposed routes and both local and regional air quality assessments have been undertaken. Properties closest to the affected roads are most likely to experience a change in air quality as a result of the proposed scheme.
6.4.3 For the Pennyburn Roundabout to the Skeoge Roundabout section, the Red Route is the preferred option with respect to improvements in air quality, followed by the Purple Route and Blue Route respectively. The Red Route performs better primarily due to the greater number of demolitions required by this option, resulting in the removal of receptors. In terms of local air quality, all three options are predicted to lead to an improvement in air quality at a greater number of properties than deterioration. All routes are expected to have a slight adverse impact on regional air quality.
6.4.4 The Skeoge Roundabout to Rol Border section is likely to affect only a relatively small number of properties. Sub-option 1 is potentially the preferable option for the re-alignment of Elagh Road. However, impact on ambient noise level and pollutant concentrations of the connector road is likely to be minimal, regardless of the option chosen.

## Cultural Heritage

6.4.5 The Monuments and Buildings Record (MBR) contains records of 33 previously recorded sites within the study area ( 500 m each side of the proposed road). One Scheduled Monument exists within the study area and a second just outside of this area, to the north. In addition, there are seven Listed Buildings, 13 Industrial Heritage sites, one battlefield and two recorded gardens within the study area.
6.4.6 The Red Route is considered to have a lesser impact on the cultural heritage of the area overall, although the significance of the cultural heritage impact of each option is the same. The Red Route is confined to the current road corridor with only limited land take from each side of the road, therefore there is less potential for impacting on previously unrecorded archaeological remains.
6.4.7 The Skeoge Roundabout to Rol Border section is anticipated to have a greater impact on cultural heritage, due to the more rural nature of its route. Further work is recommended to reduce the significance of impact on the cultural heritage of the area and establish the presence or absence of previously unrecorded archaeological remains.
6.4.8 Sub-option 1 is the preferred option for the re-alignment of Elagh Road, as this option has the smallest overall footprint.

## Disruption Due To Construction

6.4.9 Potential impacts associated with Disruption Due to Construction include impacts on properties and traffic movement as well as impact on designated ecological and archaeological sites.
6.4.10 The impact of disruption due to construction on the local community is likely to be greatest in the urban route corridor because of the close proximity of residential areas and the requirement for demolition of property. Because the off-line section within the Purple Route can be constructed first, the option with the least disruption would be the Purple Route. The Blue Route offers some benefit over the Red Route because the off-line section of the Blue Route could also be used for east and westbound traffic in the temporary situation to permit the on-line eastbound section to be constructed.
6.4.11 The impact of disruption due to construction on the local community is likely to be least in the rural section because the proposed works will take place in open countryside or on development land that has yet to be occupied. However, the impact on the local nature conservation resource is potentially greater.
6.4.12 Sub-option 1 is the preferred option for the re-alignment of Elagh Road, since the feeder road is the shortest of all four options and will therefore result in the least disruption to traffic, dwellings and the natural environment during the construction phase.

## Ecology and Nature Conservation

6.4.13 Surveys confirmed a number of habitats exist within the study area, including: agricultural land, damp grassland, amenity grassland, broadleaf woodland, open water, scrub, hedgerows and tree lines and watercourses. However, most of the habitats are of low conservation value.
6.4.14 The major residual impact of the Red option would be a slight reduction in the area of planted woodland of low conservation value and loss of hedgerows. For the Purple and Blue options, the main residual impacts would be a slight reduction in the area of grassland of low conservation value and loss of areas of scrub as well as planted woodland.
6.4.15 Known potential impacts are similar for all options between Pennyburn and the Skeoge roundabouts; therefore there is no Preferred Route for this section of the proposed works.
6.4.16 Impacts associated with the Navy Route include loss of damp and improved grassland of low conservation value and a number of tree lines and hedgerows. Additionally, there may be a potential impact upon the breeding ponds of a protected species (the smooth newt).
6.4.17 For the re-alignment of Elagh Road, sub-option 4 (connection through H1 development land) for the Elagh link is preferred because it avoids the Skeoge River and its tributary, as well as habitat used by smooth newts.

## Landscape Effects

6.4.18 For the Pennyburn Roundabout to Skeoge Roundabout section, the route options can be ranked in order of preference as: Purple, Blue and Red, with regard to townscape impacts. Impacts along this section range from Moderate Adverse to Major Adverse. The Navy option is expected to have Moderate Adverse impact. In terms of the tie in to Elagh Road, sub-option 1 is preferred, with no clear distinction between sub-options 2,3 and 4 .
6.4.19 With regards to visual impacts the route options of comparison can be ranked in order of preference as: Purple, Blue, Red. However, all of the route options have similar visual impacts on receptor groups except for a small number of receptor groups. Widening to dual carriageway from Skeoge Roundabout to Elagh Roundabout and to four lanes from the Elagh Roundabout to the Rol border would make the road more conspicuous in views from properties and roads on the hillsides either side, with Slight to Moderate Adverse visual impacts depending on distance and screening. Within this section sub-option 1 is preferred for the realignment of Elagh Road, with no clear distinction between sub-options 2, 3 and 4.
6.4.20 Overall, in terms of both townscape and visual impacts, the preferred option for the Pennyburn Roundabout to Skeoge Roundabout section is the Purple Route. For the re-alignment of Elagh Road, sub-option 1 is preferred overall.

## Land Use

6.4.21 For the Pennyburn Roundabout to Skeoge Roundabout section, the Red Route has the most potential impact in terms of demolition of private property and land-take, as it would require the demolition of 17 properties. For the Navy Route, no demolition of private property will be required and no gardens will be directly affected.
6.4.22 In terms of loss of land used by the community, the Red Route will result in the demolition of the Northern Ireland Housing Executive office. All options require the demolition of the car park which is used by the congregation of St. Patrick's Church. Impacts associated with the Navy Route include encroachment of the lay-by at the Border and encroachment of green belt land.
6.4.23 In terms of loss of development land, all options will encroach upon the lands zoned for industry and land zoned for housing along the Buncrana Road, as well as open space land at the Templemore Sports Complex. TR1 Strategic Highway Proposals to widen Buncrana Road from the junction of Racecourse Road to Pennyburn Roundabout favour on-line widening (i.e. the Red option). For the Navy Route, widening could result in encroachment of H 1 a and H 2 housing land, greenbelt land and lands zoned for industry along Buncrana Road.

| 6.4.24 | Loss of agricultural land applies to the Navy Route only. None of the sub-options associated <br> with the Navy Route will have any impact on Best Most Versatile land. <br> Overall, for the Pennyburn Roundabout to Skeoge Roundabout section, the Purple Route is <br> preferred. For the re-alignment of Elagh Road, sub-option 4 is preferred because it bounds on <br> land which is currently undesignated. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Traffic Noise and Vibration |  | 6.4.26 | Property counts have been undertaken within the distance of 300m from the proposed routes. It |
| :--- |
| is expected that those properties closest to affected roads are most likely to experience a |
| change in noise level as a result of the proposed schemes. |

## Vehicle Travellers

6.4.33 For the Red option, there will be the least amount of change in terms of view from the road as this route is on-line. For the Purple and Blue options, views would differ for on-line and off-line traffic.
6.4.34 For driver stress, the Red and Purple Routes are preferred in terms of frustration, as they allow drivers the most uninhibited access to Buncrana Road. In terms of fear of accidents, the Red option is least preferred. The Purple option is most preferred as it would lower traffic flows along the existing Buncrana Road. The Blue option would result in the greatest degree of uncertainty of route, as a result of the one way system.
6.4.35 Overall there is no preferred option for the Pennyburn Roundabout to the Skeoge Roundabout section.
6.4.36 Views from Skeoge Roundabout to the Rol border will remain relatively open along this section of road. There will be minimal difference to uncertainty of route associated with the Navy
option; however there may be increased fear of accidents. Driver frustration should be reduced as a result of the proposed Elagh Roundabout. There is no preferred option for the Elagh Road re-alignment (sub-options 1-4) at Stage 2.

## Water Quality

6.4.37 There are a number of watercourses within the vicinity of the four route options, including the River Foyle, Skeoge River, Ballymagrorty Stream and Pennyburn Stream as well as smaller field drains. Both the Skeoge River and the Ballymagrorty Stream are classified as salmonid waters under the Freshwater Fish Directive (FFD). The Skeoge River and Ballymagrorty Stream are included on the Protected Area Register (PAR) as economically significant waters, as a result of their salmonid interests.
6.4.38 All proposed route options for Pennyburn Roundabout to Skeoge roundabout section of the A2 upgrade have the potential to adversely affect the water environment. Potential impacts on the water environment are the same for each option: reductions in water quality, drainage and flood risk, changes to groundwater quality and flow, impacts on river/stream beds and/or banks and impacts on aquatic and riparian habitats.
6.4.39 The most significant constraints with respect to the Red, Blue and Purple options are the River Foyle at the eastern extent of the A2 corridor and the Skeoge River and its tributary the Ballymagrorty Stream, both classified as salmonid waters.
6.4.40 For the Pennyburn Roundabout to Skeoge Roundabout section of the scheme, the Red Route is preferred as the off-line sections of the Blue and Purple Routes will generate greater volumes of surface water runoff and put greater pressure on the drainage system.
6.4.41 The most significant constraints associated with the Navy Route are the network of drains and streams draining into the River Skeoge. Sub-options 2 and 3 would require construction of new watercourse crossings directly over the River Skeoge. Sub-options 1 and 4 cross a tributary of the Skeoge and a drain which discharges to the Skeoge respectively, however, these are preferable to direct crossings of the Skeoge River. Overall the preferred option for the realignment of Elagh Road is sub-option 1.

## Geology

6.4.42 The nearest designated site to the proposed scheme is the Lough Foyle Area of Special Scientific Interest (ASSI), Special Protection Area (SPA) and Ramsar site, which extends along the River Faughan to the tidal limit. There are a number of known potential sources of contamination within the route corridor.

## Policies and Plans

The cross-border road links are identified as key infrastructure within the Northern Ireland Regional Development Strategy 2025 and the Regional Transportation Strategy for Northern Ireland 2002-2012; and particularly for Londonderry as a regional town for the North West. This commitment to cross-border linkages from Londonderry to Donegal is also in the Republic of Ireland's National Spatial Strategy and the National Development Plan 2007-2013. All the options for this stage broadly comply with the policies and proposals of the Derry Area Plan 2011; specifically TR1 and TR2 relating to traffic management. The only non compliance
relates to the potential encroachment of greenbelt on the route section from the Skeoge Roundabout to the border with the Rol.
6.4.46 At Stage 2, for both the Pennyburn Roundabout to the Skeoge Roundabout and Skeoge Roundabout to the border with the Rol, there is no preferred option, nor is there is a preferred sub-option associated with the re-alignment of Elagh Road.
6.4.47 All route options in general comply with all policies at Stage 2.
6.5 Overall Conclusion
6.5.1 Table 6.1 shows each of the route options for the Pennyburn Roundabout to Skeoge Roundabout section of the scheme, ranked in terms of preference for each environmental study. The headings in italics are sub-headings of the main, bold headings immediately above.
6.5.2 Route option preference is indicated as (1) 'most preferred' through to (3) 'least preferred'.

Table 6.1 Summary of the Preferred Route Option (Pennyburn Roundabout to Skeoge Roundabout)

| Section | Red | Blue | Purple | No Preference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Air Quality | 1 | 3 | 2 |  |
| Cultural Heritage | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Disruption due to Construction | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Ecology and Nature Conservation |  |  |  |  |
| Landscape Effects | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Land Use | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Traffic Noise and Vibration | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Pedestrians, Equestrians, Cyclists and <br> Community Effects | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Vehicle Travellers | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Water Quality | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Geology and Soils |  |  |  |  |
| Policy and Plans |  |  |  |  |

## Overall Conclusion

6.5.3 At Stage 2, for the Pennyburn Roundabout to Skeoge Roundabout section, the Purple Route is most preferred for Disruption Due to Construction; Landscape Effects; Land Use; Traffic Noise and Vibration; and Pedestrians, Equestrians, Cyclists and Community Effects. In terms of Vehicle Travellers the Purple Route is equally preferred to the Red Route.

These preferences are generally for the reason that the Purple Route moves the road away from the population centre at Pennyburn and facilitates the retention of Collon Terrace. The Purple Route is not least preferred for any environmental aspects.
The Red and Blue Route perform equally second.
6.5.6 From the Skeoge Roundabout to Rol border section, only one route was assessed at Stage 2, the Navy Route. However four sub-options for the re-alignment of Elagh Road were assessed. Sub-option 1 was most preferred for Air Quality; Cultural Heritage; Disruption Due to Construction; Landscape Effects; Water Quality; and Geology and Soils. This is generally because it has the smallest footprint in comparison to the other three options. However, engineering, economic and land issues are expected to play a significant part in the choice of option, therefore it is recommended that all sub-options are examined in more detail in Stage 3. The final choice of sub-option will not compromise the Preferred Route decision between Skeoge Roundabout and the border with the Rol.

| 6.5.7 | In conclusion, the Purple Route is the most preferred option from an environmental <br> perspective between Pennyburn Roundabout and Skeoge Roundabout, with Red and <br> Blue being equally least preferred. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6.5.8 | The Navy Route performs acceptably for the section between Skeoge Roundabout and <br> the border with the Rol. |



## 7 Traffic and Economic Assessment

## 7 Traffic and Economic Assessment

### 7.1 Introduction

7.1.1 This section summarises the traffic operational performance of the four options, together with an estimate of accident and economic benefits in accordance with DMRB Volume 13 for the Stage 2 Scheme Assessment of the A2 Buncrana Road scheme. The four options described in Section 3 of this report have been assessed.

### 7.2 Summary of Traffic Surveys

7.2.1 Given the many influences on traffic flow in this area, data from traffic surveys undertaken over the past few years has been included in order to provide a picture of traffic volumes and conditions.
7.2.2 Manual classified junction turning counts were carried out between Tuesday 21st - Thursday 23rd November 2006 at separate locations along the route in two periods, 07:30 to 09:00 and 16:30 to 17:30. Queue length surveys were also undertaken together with vehicle occupancy surveys in the morning peak.
7.2.3 Vehicle categories were based on those contained in COBA V11 DMRB Volume 13, Table 8/1.

## Traffic Flows

7.2.4 Average daily traffic flows on A2 Buncrana Road recorded in 2005 and 2006 are illustrated in Table 7.1

Table 7.1-24 HR AADT

| Source | Survey Location on A2 Buncrana Rd | Year |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| Roads Service Counter: 381 | Northwest of Pennyburn Roundabout | $\mathbf{1 4 , 6 3 0}$ | - |
| Faber Maunsell Survey | 75 metres west of Racecourse Road | - | 17,754 |
| Roads Service Counter: 383 | North of Whitehouse Retail Centre | 17,650 | - |
| Faber Maunsell Survey | 100 metres west of Benview Road | - | 16,971 |

7.2.5 The data reveals that traffic flows on Buncrana Road in 2005/6 were in the region of 14,500 18,000 vehicles per day.
7.2.6 In terms of total peak-hour flows at the various junctions along A2 Buncrana Road, Table 7.2 illustrates the total inbound flows at each of the junctions along the route, recorded in 2006.

Table 7.2 - Peak Hour Flows at Junctions (2006 unless stated otherwise)

| Location | AM Vehicles | PM Vehicles |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Pennyburn Roundabout | $2,838^{*}$ | 3,139 |
| Pennyburn Pass / Buncrana Road | 1,775 | 1,695 |
| Maybrook Mews / Buncrana Road | 1,790 | 1,631 |
| Racecourse Rd / Pennyburn Ind Est / Buncrana Rd | $1,678^{*}$ | 2,707 |
| Shandon Park / Buncrana Road | 1,259 | 1,453 |
| St John's Park / Buncrana Road | 1,524 | 1,323 |
| Springtown Road / Buncrana Road | $1,382^{\star}$ | 1,704 |
| St Columb's College / Buncrana Road | 1,650 | 1,268 |


| Location | AM Vehicles | PM Vehicles |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Springtown Park / Buncrana Road | 1,427 | 1,392 |
| Disc Drive (Seagate) / Buncrana Road | 1,406 | 1,323 |
| Branch Roundabout | $2,922^{*}$ | 3,483 |
| Templegrove / Buncrana Road | 1,416 | 1,775 |
| Upper Galliagh Rd / Whitehouse Rd / Buncrana Rd | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 1,889 |
| Elagh Road / Benview Road / Buncrana Road | 1,073 | 1,376 |
| *2002 survey |  |  |

*2002 survey data
The above data reveals that there are significant traffic levels at each of the junctions on Buncrana Road, with the highest flows recorded at the Pennyburn Roundabout, Racecourse Road and Branch Road junctions.

## Journey Times

7.2.7 Journey time surveys between Pennyburn Roundabout and the Rol border were undertaken in 2006 during the AM, Off and PM peaks, with the minimum journey times recorded as approximately 5 minutes 20 seconds in both directions (i.e. equivalent to an average speed of $30 \mathrm{mph})$. Eastbound, the morning peak hour average speed was recorded as 15.40 mph (journey time 11 minutes 15 seconds), with the evening peak hour average speed recorded as 25.56 mph (journey time 6 minutes 19 seconds). The westbound journey speeds were higher than eastbound, with the traffic speed mostly ranging from 21 mph to 32 mph . In the morning peak hour the westbound average speed was 27.26 mph (journey time 6 minutes 8 seconds), with the evening peak hour average speed recorded as 23.18 mph (journey time 7 minutes 12 seconds).
7.2.9 The route is congested during the morning and evening peak hours, with a number of junctions experiencing significant queuing.
7.2.10 During both the morning and evening peaks, the longest queues were recorded at the Pennyburn Roundabout, Racecourse Road and Branch Roundabout junctions. Considering all of the junctions along Buncrana Road, the maximum queues in the morning peak occurred at $08: 45$ with approximately 372 vehicles queued across the network. Pennyburn Roundabout experienced the highest level of queuing, with an average of 38 vehicles queued at any one time.

## Cyclists

7.2.13 The number of cyclists recorded in both two-hour weekday peak periods at the junctions along Buncrana Road is illustrated in Table 7.3.

Table 7.3 - Cyclist Peak Hour Counts at Junctions (2006)

| Location | AM Cyclists | PM Cyclists |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Pennyburn Roundabout | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 2 |
| Pennyburn Pass / Buncrana Road | 3 | 4 |
| Maybrook Mews / Buncrana Road | 3 | 2 |
| Racecourse Rd / Pennyburn Ind Est / Buncrana Rd | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 2 |
| Shandon Park / Buncrana Road | 1 | 2 |
| St John's Park / Buncrana Road | 5 | 4 |
| Springtown Road / Buncrana Road | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 2 |
| St Columb's College / Buncrana Road | 3 | 3 |
| Springtown Park / Buncrana Road | 5 | 6 |
| Disc Drive (Seagate) / Buncrana Road | 5 | 8 |
| Branch Roundabout | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 0 |
| Templegrove / Buncrana Road | 1 | 1 |
| Upper Galliagh Rd / Whitehouse Rd / Buncrana Rd | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 0 |
| Elagh Road / Benview Road / Buncrana Road | 0 | 0 |

## Additional Traffic Surveys (Post A515 Skeoge Link Opening)

7.2.14 In order to provide updated data for the Stage 2 assessments and also due to the opening of Skeoge Link which altered flows in the area, additional surveys were commissioned in May 2008; various Automatic Traffic Counts (ATCs) and junction Manual Classified Counts (MCCs) were undertaken. The locations of the various surveys are listed below.

- ATC1: A2 Buncrana Road, north of Branch Roundabout ( $10^{\text {th }}-23^{\text {rd }}$ May 2008);
- ATC2: Templemore Road, east of Branch Roundabout ( $10^{\text {th }}-23^{\text {rd }}$ May 2008);
- ATC3: A515 Skeoge Link, east of Skeoge Roundabout ( $10^{\text {th }}-23^{\text {rd }}$ May 2008);
- MCC1: Pennyburn Roundabout (Tues 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ May 2008: AM, Off \& PM Peaks);
- MCC2: Branch Roundabout (Tues $20^{\text {th }}$ May 2008: AM, Off \& PM Peaks); and
- MCC3: Skeoge Roundabout (Tues $20^{\text {th }}$ May 2008: AM, Off \& PM Peaks).
7.2.15 Daily traffic flows are presented in Table 7.4.

Table 7.4 - ATC Data May 2008

| Site | Average Two-way 24 Hour Count |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5-Day | 7-Day |
| ATC1: A2 Buncrana Rd, north of Branch Roundabout | 20,389 | 19,279 |
| ATC2: Templemore Road, east of Branch Roundabout | 18,539 | 17,739 |
| ATC3: A515 Skeoge Link, east of Skeoge Roundabout | 9,184 | 9,047 |

7.2.16 Table 7.5 details the peak hour eastbound flows in vehicles at the three junctions surveyed in 2008. Compared to the 2006 data in Table 7.2, the flows at Pennyburn and Branch Roundabouts show a reduction in flow from 2006 levels, particularly in the PM peak.
Table 7.5 - Peak Hour Flows at Junctions (2008)

| Location | AM Vehicles | PM Vehicles |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Pennyburn Roundabout | 2,375 | 2,493 |
| Branch Roundabout | 3,084 | 3,302 |
| Skeoge Roundabout | 1,667 | 1,909 |

7.2.17
7.2.18 The A515 Skeoge Link was opened in mid-March 2008, with the intention of providing an alternative route for much of the cross-border traffic which travelled from the Foyle Bridge through the Galliagh estate and along the western portion of Buncrana Road. It forms a mainly dual carriageway link between the Ballyarnet and Skeoge Roundabouts and thereby provides an attractive alternative route for cross-border traffic, both travelling to and from the A2 at Limavady and the A6 at Dungiven.
7.2.19 Traffic surveys have indicated that the Skeoge Link appears to have removed in the region of 4,500 vehicles per day from Buncrana Road and approximately 6,000 vehicles from Templemore Road. Over 9,000 vehicles per day now use the Skeoge Link.
A plot of hourly traffic flows over a weekday at three locations shown in Chart 7.1 illustrates that traffic levels in the area remain fairly constant between the hours 08:00-21:00. Over a full seven day period, the profile is similar however with a slightly lower volume.

Chart 7.1 - Daily Profile 2008 (5-Day)


## A515 Skeoge Link Opening

7.2.20 At Branch Roundabout, compared to the eastbound flows previously recorded, traffic levels on the Buncrana Road ( N ) and Templemore Road arms are noticeably lower. Overall, a decrease of 607 vehicles is seen at the junction in the PM peak compared to 2006, with a total of 6,135 vehicles recorded in 2008.

## $7.3 \quad$ Traffic Modelling

## Modelling Approach - Macro Level - Derry Model

The Derry Transport Model (DTM) is a strategic highway model that has been developed over several years to determine how traffic flows will change in the future due to various proposed residential and employment developments in combination with background traffic growth and forthcoming transport schemes within Londonderry city. The DTM includes all the major highway routes within the city, including the whole of Buncrana Road from Pennyburn Roundabout to the Rol border.
7.3.2

The DTM takes account of the significant housing development that is zoned in the north of the city. The "H1/H2" lands have been earmarked for the development in excess of 5,000 homes (number dependent on final agreed densities) in the Skeoge and Coshquin lands. The DTM
also takes into account the Buncrana Road improvements (assuming an additional lane in each direction), with some associated junction capacity improvements at various locations.

| 7.3.3 | The DTM was updated for the west bank of the city for traffic work associated with the H1/H2 zoned residential development lands. Subsequent Roadside Survey Interviews (RSIs) were undertaken to incorporate the whole of the city into the Roads Service's Local Transport Study (LTS). The LTS in turn fed into the Derry Area Plan. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 7.3 .4 | The Derry LTS includes the widening of Buncrana Road together with a Quality Bus Corridor (QBC), with aspirations to provide a high quality public transport link from Buncrana Road, through the city and across the river to the Waterside and Altnagelvin Hospital. |
| 7.3.5 | The DTM has a base year of 2004 and a forecast year of 2015 and is representative of the AM peak hour only. |
| 7.3 .6 | The DTM was used to predict future demand by incorporating the following: <br> - Additional trips generated from the $\mathrm{H} 1 / \mathrm{H} 2$ zoned development land calculated from the TRICS database, with some local data. <br> - Traffic distribution from $\mathrm{H} 1 / \mathrm{H} 2$ determined from local characteristics of similar existing developments. <br> - Traffic growth from local available data. |

7.3.7 The DTM was used to predict future (2015) traffic flow from three different road improvement scenarios:

- The "do minimum" - no improvements.
- The "DS2" test which assumed only improvements to Buncrana Road, Branch Road Roundabout and an additional lane at the Springtown Road junction.
- The "DE2B" test which was the "do everything" test and included the Buncrana Road widening, improvements at Pennyburn roundabout, signalisation of Springtown Road, a link road between Buncrana Road and Northland Road at Pennyburn Industrial Estate and a number of other improvements to junctions and links in the local area.
7.3.8 For each scenario, the trip matrix size remained the same with all DTM development trips included. This meant that as the level of highway improvement increased, the number of trips on the network would increase as more vehicles would be able to enter the network within the given peak hour.
Validation and calibration of the model was completed as part of the LTS process. Details of the process undertaken to produce the forecast flows is included in the Derry Local Transport Study Survey Report and Model Validation Report produced by WS Atkins.


## Modelling Approach - Micro Level - Junction Modelling

7.3.10 There are a number of key junctions within the study area and in order to determine the impact of traffic associated with the proposed options, detailed junction models have been built for the following junctions:

- Buncrana Road / Racecourse Road / Pennyburn Industrial Estate;
- Buncrana Road / Springtown Road;
- Buncrana Road / Branch Road / Templemore Road.
7.3.11 Each junction has been assessed via the TRANSYT or LinSig software packages. The results of the assessments are detailed in Section 7.5.


## 7.4

 Forecasting7.4.1

The traffic surveys detailed in Section 7.2 have been used to inform the assessments of the junction operational performance along Buncrana Road. However these surveys have not been
converted to a bespoke transport model and therefore the DTM which incorporates future developments, road improvements and traffic growth (as stated in Section 7.3) provides the most appropriate set of forecast flows.
7.4.2 In March 2006 the AM peak 2015 turning movements predicted in the model were passed to Faber Maunsell for use in the Buncrana Road scheme.
7.4.3 To enable economic and environmental assessments for the options to be undertaken, the AM peak flows were factored using observed data to produce both 7-day 24 hour and 5-day 18 hour AADT flows. These daily flows are reproduced in Tables 7.6 and 7.7.

Table 7.6 - 2015 5-day 18hr AADT flows as derived from Derry Model (Vehicles)

| Location | Direction | Derry Model Scenario |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Do $\min$ A | DS2 | DE2B |
| Buncrana Rd- between Pennyburn Rbt to Racecourse Rd | Eastbound | 17,277 | 18,028 | 21,086 |
|  | Westbound | 16,074 | 15,651 | 14,010 |
| Buncrana Rd- between Racecourse Rd and Springtown Rd | Eastbound | 9,328 | 12,506 | 10,730 |
|  | Westbound | 11,256 | 12,247 | 9,463 |
| Buncrana Rd- between Springtown Rd and Branch Rbt | Eastbound | 13,867 | 16,726 | 20,210 |
|  | Westbound | 11,620 | 11,459 | 15,177 |
| Buncrana Rd- between Branch Roundabout and Whitehouse Rd | Eastbound | 19,464 | 21,260 | 21,928 |
|  | Westbound | 24,772 | 28,381 | 30,556 |
| Buncrana Rd- between Whitehouse Rd and Skeoge Roundabout | Eastbound | 28,096 | 29,353 | 33,932 |
|  | Westbound | 27,682 | 29,481 | 25,665 |

Table 7.7 - 2015 7-day 24hr AADT flows as derived from Derry Model (Vehicles)

| Location | Direction | Derry Model Scenario |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Do min A | DS2 | DE2B |
| Buncrana Rd- between Pennyburn Rbt to Racecourse Rd | Eastbound | 13,765 | 13,765 | 16,801 |
|  | Westbound | 12,950 | 12,950 | 11,287 |
| Buncrana Rd- between Racecourse Rd and Springtown Rd | Eastbound | 7,248 | 7,248 | 8,336 |
|  | Westbound | 8,862 | 8,862 | 7,450 |
| Buncrana Rd- between Springtown Rd and Branch Rbt | Eastbound | 10,775 | 10,775 | 15,704 |
|  | Westbound | 9,149 | 9,149 | 11,949 |
| Buncrana Rd- between Branch Roundabout and Whitehouse Rd | Eastbound | 16,411 | 16,411 | 18,487 |
|  | Westbound | 21,895 | 21,895 | 27,007 |
| Buncrana Rd- between Whitehouse Rd and Skeoge Roundabout | Eastbound | 22,773 | 22,773 | 27,504 |
|  | Westbound | 23,350 | 23,350 | 21,650 |

### 7.5 Junction Operation

7.5.1 Three key junctions within the length of Buncrana Road have been assessed using applicable modelling software. Analysis of each of the proposed revised layouts has been undertaken in significant detail, using various sets of traffic flows to assess future operational performance.
7.5.2 This report only details the impacts of flows from the most onerous DTM scenario in traffic terms, i.e. 'DE2B'.

## Racecourse Road

7.5.3 For the three route options, individual models representing the junctions on the Red, Blue and Purple Routes have been built to compare the options. The Red Route has discrete junctions
and LinSig software was used to determine the operational performance at Racecourse Road. The new junction at Racecourse Road is adjacent to the existing signalised junction on Buncrana Road in the Blue and Purple Route options. These are 'linked' junctions, which requires the use of the TRANSYT software package. The results for each of the three options are presented within Tables 7.8-7.10.
Table 7.8 - Red Option LinSig Results: Derry Model 2015 AM: DE2B

| Link | Name | Degree of <br> Saturation <br> $(\%)$ | Mean Max <br> Queue <br> (PCU) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 1$ | Racecourse Rd: AH RT | 64.6 | 6.5 |
| $1 / 2$ | Racecourse Rd: LT | 74.1 | 10.7 |
| $2 / 1$ | Buncrana Road S | 65.3 | 23.3 |
| $3 / 1$ | Pennyburn Ind Estate | 71.4 | 14.5 |
| $4 / 1$ | Buncrana Road N | 73.8 | 20.8 |
| Practical Reserve Capacity ( ) | 21.4 |  |  |
| Total Junction Delay (PCUh) | 38.1 |  |  |

Table 7.9 - TRANSYT Results for Blue Option: Derry Model 2015 AM: DE2B

| Link | Name | Degree of <br> Saturation <br> $(\%)$ | Mean Max <br> Queue <br> (PCU) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | Buncrana Rd (Eastbound) @ Racecourse Rd | 62 | 17 |
| 12 | Racecourse Rd | 64 | 19 |
| 13 | Internal to Racecourse Rd | 62 | $\mathbf{1 4}{ }^{*}$ |
| 21 | Buncrana Rd (Eastbound) @ Maybrook Mews | 65 | 20 |
| 22 | Maybrook Mews | 49 | 2 |
| 23 | Buncrana Rd (Westbound) RT to Maybrook Mews | 25 | 1 |
| 24 | Buncrana Rd (Westbound) @ Maybrook Mews | 31 | 6 |
| 31 | Buncrana Rd (Westbound) @ Racecourse Rd | 54 | $\mathbf{3 1}{ }^{*}$ |
| 32 | Pennyburn Industrial Estate | 55 | 12 |

* indicates queue is longer than link length.

Table 7.10 - TRANSYT Results for Purple Option: Derry Model 2015 AM: DE2B

| Link | Name | Degree of <br> Saturation <br> (\%) | Mean Max <br> Queue <br> (PCU) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | Racecourse Rd (Eastbound) | 62 | 16 |
| 12 | Collon Terrace | 68 | 8 |
| 13 | Internal to Racecourse Rd RT | 5 | 0 |
| 14 | Internal to Racecourse Rd AH / LT | 38 | 2 |
| 15 | Old Buncrana Rd (N) AH / RT / LT | 64 | 7 |
| 21 | Internal from Racecourse Rd RT / AH | 34 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| 22 | Internal from Racecourse Rd LT | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ * |
| 23 | Buncrana Rd (S) RT | 64 | 8 |
| 24 | Buncrana Rd (S) AH / LT | $\mathbf{9 6}$ | 35 |
| 25 | Pennyburn Ind Est RT | $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ * |
| 26 | Pennyburn Ind Est AH | 76 | 7 |
| 27 | Pennyburn Ind Est LT | 20 | 3 |
| 28 | Buncrana Rd (N) RT | 46 | 4 |
| 29 | Buncrana Rd (N) AH / LT | $\mathbf{9 8}$ | 34 |

[^0]7.5.4 The results above show that at Racecourse Road the Red Route performs best followed by the Blue then Purple Routes. In the Blue Route layout, analysis shows that the eastbound 'internal' link would have queues greater than the distance between the junctions. This will block the junctions. In the Purple layout, the westbound internal link would also be blocked and in addition some of the links are shown to form excessive queues, their degree of saturation being above $90 \%$. The poor operational performance of the Purple Route at this location is one of the reason for low economic benefits reported in Section 7.6

## Springtown Road

A similar approach has been used to model Springtown Road. LinSig models have been built for the Red and Purple Routes. For the Blue Route a TRANSYT model has been devised again because of the internal "link" caused by the close proximity of the two junctions. The results for each of the three options are presented within Tables 7.11-7.13.

Table 7.11 - LINSIG Results for Red Option: Derry Model 2015 AM: DE2B

| Link | Name | Degree of Saturation <br> $(\%)$ | Mean Max Queue <br> (PCU) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 1$ | Springtown Rd: LT | 68.0 | 7.9 |
| $1 / 2$ | Springtown Rd: RT | 34.5 | 1.4 |
| $2 / 1$ | A2 Buncrana Rd (N): AH / RT | 87.6 | 29.4 |
| $3 / 1$ | A2 Buncrana Rd (S): LT / AH | 87.9 | 24.0 |
| Practical Reserve Capacity () | $2.4 \%$ |  |  |
| Total Junction Delay (PCUh) | 33.1 PCUh |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

D.O.S. $=$ Degree of Saturation. 100 sec cycle time modelled.

Table 7.12 - TRANSYT Results for Blue Option: Derry Model 2015 AM: DE2B

| Link | Name | Degree of Saturation <br> $(\%)$ | Mean Max Queue <br> (PCU) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | A2 Buncrana Rd SB: AH / RT | 56 | 3 |
| 12 | Internal from Springtown Rd: RT | 45 | 18 |
| 21 | Internal to Springtown Rd: AH / RT | $\mathbf{9 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ * |
| 22 | A2 Buncrana Rd NB: LT / AH / RT | 89 | 31 |
| 23 | Springtown Rd: AH | 8 | 1 |
| 24 | Springtown Rd: LT | 89 | 19 |
| D.O.S. $=$ Degree of Saturation. 120 sec cycle time modelled. * denotes queue is longer than link length. |  |  |  |

Table 7.13 - LINSIG Results for Purple Option: Derry Model 2015 AM: DE2B

| Link | Name | Degree of Saturation <br> $(\%)$ | Mean Max Queue <br> (PCU) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 1$ | Buncrana Rd (S): RT | 38.3 | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ |
| $1 / 2$ | Buncrana Rd (S): LT / AH | $\mathbf{9 6 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 3}$ |
| $2 / 1$ | Springtown Rd: AH / RT | 70.3 | 3.4 |
| $2 / 2$ | Springtown Rd: LT | 53.1 | 7.1 |
| $3 / 1$ | Buncrana Rd (N): RT | $\mathbf{1 0 1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0}$ |
| $3 / 2$ | Buncrana Rd (N): AH / LT | 38.1 | 10.7 |
| $4 / 1$ | From mini-roundabout: LT / AH / RT | 75.8 | 4.2 |
| Practical Reserve Capacity ( ) | $\mathbf{- 1 2 . 5}$ |  |  |
| Total Junction Delay (PCUh) |  | $\mathbf{4 9 . 1}$ PCUh |  |

D.O.S. $=$ Degree of Saturation. 100 sec cycle time modelled.
7.5.6 The above results show that the Red Route performs best followed closely by the Blue Route. The Purple Route appears to struggle with the AM peak DE2B flows.

## Branch Road

7.5.7 Layouts for the Branch Roundabout are identical for each of the proposed options.
7.5.8 Numerous designs have been considered for this junction, two are assessed within this report.
7.5.9 One option is a signalised 'gyratory' layout with an enlarged signalised roundabout. This would increase capacity, however the access to $B \& Q$ at the gyratory would have to be revised to an in-only arrangement in order to accommodate the signal staging.
7.5.10 The gyratory arrangement has been tested by building a TRANSYT model of the junction. The results in Table 7.14 show that the junction is over-capacity at the node where Templemore Road meets the roundabout. This is due to the considerable flows that converge at this location, particularly from Buncrana Road (N).
Table 7.14 - Branch 'Enlarged Gyratory' TRANSYT Results: Derry Model 2015 AM: DE2B

| Link | Location | Degree of Saturation () | Mean Max Queue (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | Buncrana Rd (N) left-lane | 89 | 46* |
| 12 | Buncrana Rd (N) right-lane | 83 | 41* |
| 13 | Circulatory @ Buncrana Rd (N) left-lane | 85 | 13 |
| 14 | Circulatory @ Buncrana Rd (N) right-lane | 85 | 29* |
| 21 | Templemore Rd approach left-lane | 103 | 69* |
| 22 | Templemore Rd approach right-lane | 96 | 32* |
| 23 | Circulatory @ Templemore Rd left-lane | 100 | 73* |
| 24 | Circulatory @ Templemore Rd right-lane | 100 | 74* |
| 31 | Buncrana Rd (S) approach left-lane | 84 | 30* |
| 32 | Buncrana Rd (S) approach right-lane | 84 | 30* |
| 33 | Circulatory @ Buncrana Rd (S) left-lane | 84 | 36* |
| 34 | Circulatory @ Buncrana Rd (S) right-lane | 83 | 45* |
| 41 | Branch Rd left-lane | 69 | 25 |
| 42 | Branch Rd right-lane | 69 | 25 |
| 43 | Circulatory @ Branch Rd left-lane | 76 | 26* |
| 44 | Circulatory @ Branch Rd right-lane | 76 | 11 |
| 51 | (S) Junction: Buncrana Rd (N) right-lane | 47 | 15 |
| 52 | (S) Junction: Buncrana Rd (N) centre-lane | 47 | 4 |
| 53 | (S) Junction: Buncrana Rd (N) left-lane | 3 | 0 |
| 54 | (S) Junction: B\&Q access right-lane | 61 | 5 |
| 55 | (S) Junction: B\&Q access left-lane | 15 | 1 |
| 56 | (S) Junction: Buncrana Rd (S) right-lane | 3 | 0 |
| 57 | (S) Junction: Buncrana Rd (S) centre-lane | 31 | 4 |
| 58 | (S) Junction: Buncrana Rd (S) left-lane | 33 | 5 |
| 61 | (E) Junction: Templemore Rd (W) right-lane | 67 | 14 |
| 62 | (E) Junction: Templemore Rd (W) left-lane | 66 | 18 |
| 63 | (E) Junction: Collon Lane | 84 | 5 |
| 64 | (E) Junction: Templemore Rd (E) right-lane | 7 | 0 |
| 65 | (E) Junction: Templemore Rd (E) left-lane | 82 | 29 |
| 66 | (E) Junction: B\&Q Access: ahead/right-turners | 64 | 7 |
| 67 | (E) Junction: B\&Q Access: left-turners | 46 | 8 |
| 1444 | Shared: Circulatory @ Buncrana Rd (N) right-lane | 85 | 29* |
| 2414 | Shared: Circulatory @ Templemore Rd right-lane | 100 | 74* |
| 3324 | Shared: Circulatory @ Buncrana Rd (S) left-lane | 84 | 36* |
| 4434 | Shared: Circulatory @ Branch Rd right-lane | 76 | 11 |
| 5123 | Shared: (S) Junction: Buncrana Rd (N) right-lane | 47 | 15 |
| 5124 | Shared: (S) Junction: Buncrana Rd (N) right-lane | 47 | 15 |
| 5223 | Shared: (S) Junction: Buncrana Rd (N) left-lane | 47 | 4 |

[^1]7.5.11 A further option considered was a 'through-about' or 'hamburger' layout, which attempts to remove the conflicts between 'high volume' right-turning movement from Buncrana Road (N). Removing this traffic would avoid the conflict with Templemore Road traffic.
7.5.12 The results of the analysis shown in Table 7.15 predicts that this layout would operate slightly better than the enlarged gyratory, although there are a few capacity issues at the northern edge of the junction.

Table 7.15 - Branch ‘Through-about' TRANSYT Results: Derry Model 2015 AM: DE2B

| Link | Location | Degree of <br> Saturation <br> (\%) | Mean Max <br> Queue <br> (PCU) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | Branch Road (all movements) | 97 | 53 |
| 12 | NB/WB Circulatory at Branch Road (from Buncrana Rd (S) etc) | 98 | $\mathbf{7 7}^{\star}$ |
| 13 | WB Circulatory at Branch Road (from Buncrana Rd (N)) | 74 | $\mathbf{1 8}^{\star}$ |
| 21 | EB/SB Circulatory at Buncrana Rd (N) (from Branch Rd etc) | 83 | $\mathbf{3 0}^{\star}$ |
| 22 | Buncrana Rd (N) (all movements) | 89 | 56 |
| 31 | SB Circulatory at Templemore Rd (from Buncrana Rd (N) etc) | 81 | $3^{\star}$ |
| 32 | Templemore Road (all movements) | 79 | 28 |
| 33 | Buncrana Road (S) (all movements) | 83 | 35 |
| 51 | (S) Junction: Buncrana Rd (N) right-lane | 49 | 7 |
| 52 | (S) Junction: Buncrana Rd (N) centre-lane | 49 | 7 |
| 53 | (S) Junction: Buncrana Rd (N) left-lane | 3 | 0 |
| 54 | (S) Junction: B\&Q access right-lane | 49 | 4 |
| 55 | (S) Junction: B\&Q access left-lane | 32 | 1 |
| 56 | (S) Junction: Buncrana Rd (S) right-lane | 34 | 5 |
| 57 | (S) Junction: Buncrana Rd (S) centre-lane | 31 | 5 |
| 58 | (S) Junction: Buncrana Rd (S) left-lane | 1 | 0 |
| 61 | (E) Junction: Templemore Rd (W) right-lane | 32 | 3 |
| 62 | (E) Junction: Templemore Rd (W) left-lane | 44 | 4 |
| 63 | (E) Junction: Collon Lane | 43 | 3 |
| 64 | (E) Junction: Templemore Rd (E) right-lane | 2 | 0 |
| 65 | (E) Junction: Templemore Rd (E) left-lane | 64 | 25 |
| 66 | (E) Junction: B\&Q Access: ahead/right-turners | 5 |  |
| 67 | (E) Junction: B\&Q Access: left-turners | 9 |  |

* indicates queue is longer than link length.
7.5.14 The above findings demonstrate that with further refinement a workable solution should be achievable. Since the Branch Road junction layout would be identical in all three options (Red, Blue and Purple) an optimum solution can be resolved in Stage 3, and the final choice of layout does not affect the Preferred Route decision.
7.6 Economic Analysis


## Introduction

7.6.1 The economic benefits from each of the Buncrana Road options has been assessed using the COBA analysis package, the standard package for assessing the economic benefits of a highway scheme. COBA calculates the following:

- Travel time costs;
- Delay costs on links and at junctions;
- Vehicles operating cost costs;
- Fuel consumption costs;
- Vehicle kilometre changes;
- Accident costs on links and at junctions; and
- Emissions costs.
7.6.2 COBA assesses each of these criteria and determines the change in cost for each option compared to the highway network without the improvements. The assessment period is 60 years from the opening year and the costs are discounted to 2002 prices. COBA amalgamates these benefits to produce the Present Value of Benefits (PVB).
7.6.3 The Present Value of Costs (PVC) are the total capital costs plus maintenance costs and these are discounted to 2002 prices. The Net Present Value (NPV) is the difference between the PVB and the PVC and the Benefit to Cost Ratio (BCR) is the ratio PVB / PVC. The BCR is a measure of "value for money"; the higher the BCR, the better the "value for money" the option provides.
7.6.4 The latest calculated scheme costs are included in Section 4.


## Benefits

7.6.5 The COBA model was built using the 7-day 24 hour daily traffic flows factored from the DTM. The traffic flows between Pennyburn and Branch Roundabouts on the Red, Blue and Purple Routes were assumed to be similar. A manual adjustment was made to the Blue and Purple Routes to assign traffic from the off-line section onto the existing Buncrana Road. Local collision statistics over the past three years were also input into the model.
7.6.6 Each of the four options were modelled separately within COBA, with link and junction detail taken from the latest Stage 2 scheme designs for each route. This included junction data such as lane widths, signal staging and cycle times.

Table 7.16 - COBA Analyses for Red, Blue, Purple and Navy Routes (£000s)

| Impact |  | Red | Blue | Purple | Navy |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Consumer User Benefits <br> (£000s) | $£ 123,828$ | $£ 119,590$ | $£ 97,478$ | $£ 60,882$ |
| $B$ | Business User Benefits <br> $(£ 000 s)$ | $£ 121,580$ | $£ 120,164$ | $£ 96,007$ | $£ 71,696$ |
| C | Private Sector Provider Impacts <br> (£000s) | $£ 1,746$ | $£ 1,465$ | $£ 1,351$ | $£ 855$ |
| $D$ | Accident Benefits <br> (£000s) | $£ 1,479$ | $-£ 24,508$ | $-£ 14,329$ | $£ 3,727$ |
| $E$ | Emissions Benefits | $£ 1,121$ | $£ 914$ | $£ 853$ | $£ 1,906$ |
| $F$ | Change to Indirect Tax revenues | $-£ 9,739$ | $-£ 7,941$ | $-£ 7,426$ | $-£ 16,264$ |
| $G$ | Present Value of Benefits (PVB) <br> $(=A+B+C+D+E+F)$ | $£ 237,057$ | $£ 209,685$ | $£ 173,934$ | $£ 122,803$ |
| $H$ | Present Value of Costs (PVC) <br> (with F subtracted) | $£ 23,748$ | $£ 27,695$ | $£ 31,185$ | $£ 11,634$ |
| I | Net Present Value (NPV) (£000s) <br> $(=G-H)$ | $£ 213,309$ | $£ 181,990$ | $£ 142,749$ | $£ 111,169$ |
| $J$ | Benefit to Cost Ratio (BCR) <br> $(=G / H)$ | 9.98 | 7.57 | 5.58 | 10.56 |

Table 7.17 - COBA Analyses for Red, Blue, Purple all including Navy Routes (£000s)

| Impact |  | Red + Navy | Blue + Navy | Purple + Navy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Consumer User Benefits (£000s) | £137,855 | £126,661 | £92,536 |
| $B$ | Business User Benefits (£000s) | £138,326 | £131,025 | £95,703 |
| C | Private Sector Provider Impacts (£000s) | £1,842 | £1,326 | £994 |
| D | Accident Benefits (£000s) | -£267 | -£23,297 | -£14,482 |
| $E$ | Emissions Benefits | £1,091 | $£ 744$ | £547 |
| $F$ | Change to Indirect Tax revenues | -£9,447 | -£6,446 | $-£ 4,767$ |
| G | Present Value of Benefits (PVB) ( $=A+B+C+D+E+F)$ | £269,400 | £230,013 | £170,531 |
| H | Present Value of Costs (PVC) (with F subtracted) | £35,513 | £39,482 | £42,997 |
| 1 | Net Present Value (NPV) (£OOOs) ( $=G-H$ ) | £233,887 | £190,531 | £127,534 |
| J | Benefit to Cost Ratio (BCR) $(=G / H)$ | 7.59 | 5.83 | 3.97 |

## Results - BCR

7.6.7 The results of the COBA analyses for each of the four routes assessed separately are illustrated in Table 7.16. In conclusion the Red Route performs best, with a BCR of 9.98. The Blue Route is second with a BCR of 7.57 , and the Purple Route is lowest with a BCR of 5.58 . The Navy (Skeoge to Rol border) Route also performs well, with a BCR of 10.56.
7.6.10 For links, these relate to link type. For junctions, the accident model takes account of both total flow and flows opposed by each other. The type of junction is also a factor in the calculations and COBA has various coefficients that alter according to junction type. Typically, the proportion of slight accidents at signalised junctions is slightly higher than for priority junctions, and since slight accidents represent between 80-90 of all accidents (nationally), COBA calculates increased accident costs at signalised junctions irrespective of the actual layout and the safety enhancements that can be incorporated. The additional number of junctions in some of the options also contributes to this increase in accident costs.
7.6.11 The accident disbenefits are noticeably lower for the Red Route in comparison to the other two routes. This is because the network changes very little in the Red Route; there are fewer new signalised junctions and there is also very little increase in the overall length of the network.
7.6.12 For the Navy Route, the model calculates positive accident benefits, and this is considered to be due to the provision of safer dual carriageway links in place of the existing single carriageway on this section of Buncrana Road.

| 7.6.13 | For this Stage 2 analysis the non accident benefits are sufficiently positive to enable a Preferred Route to be selected; accident disbenefit mitigation would be investigated in Stage 3. No option is preferred. |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Sensitivity Test |
| 7.6.14 | In order to ensure that the assessments being undertaken reflect a reasonable picture, sensitivity tests have been undertaken using an alternative set of future year flows. |
| 7.6.15 | These flows have been produced using recent traffic survey data in combination with NRTF Central growth rates. The traffic year was assigned as 2015 (same as Derry Model assessment), with 1,000 residential units assumed to be developed on the $\mathrm{H} 1 / \mathrm{H} 2$ zoned lands. |
| 7.6.16 | The sensitivity test flows and COBA assessment results are presented within Appendix D. The results of this analysis again indicate that while each of the three 'competing' routes produce economic benefits, the Red Route performs best, followed by Blue and then Purple. This order is maintained when each of the routes is included with the Navy Route (which also performs acceptably in economic terms on its own). |
|  | Summary |
| 7.6.17 | On the basis of the COBA assessments, each of the routes appears to give positive benefits. The Red Route performs best and generates the higher benefits in comparison to capital outlay. This is followed by the Blue and then the Purple Routes. This hierarchy is replicated when the three routes are each included with the Navy Route as one model. |
| 7.7 | Additional Sub-Objectives |
|  | Safety - Security |
| 7.7.1 | This sub-objective reflects the level of security for road users, public transport passengers and non-motorised users. The aim of this sub-objective is to reflect both changes in security and the likely numbers of users affected. |
| 7.7.2 | All options offer road users reductions in time queued at signals, junctions or in lines of traffic and therefore less fear of attack while queuing. |
| 7.7.3 | The current lay-by at the Rol border would be replaced with a lay-by to current standards. |
| 7.7.4 | The urban section of the road would benefit by street lighting provided to current standards. |
| 7.7.5 | The design of the footways and areas surrounding the highway itself will be undertaken to ensure that there are no fully or partially concealed areas which would make pedestrians vulnerable to attack. Any such areas that currently exist will be examined and attempts made to remove them as part of the scheme. |
| 7.7.6 | All options are assessed to have Moderate Beneficial impact on Security. |
|  | Economy - Reliability |
| 7.7.7 | For journeys by private road vehicles it is reasonable to expect travellers to be aware of the average journey time, including variations caused by factors such as different traffic conditions at different times of the day. Reliability is defined as the variation in journey times that drivers would experience travelling along the road. This can arise due to the variations in day to day congestion or particular incidents that occur. |
| 7.7.8 | All options would deliver improved reliability to the users of Buncrana Road. |
| 7.7.9 | Reliability for public transport is defined as the difference between actual and timetabled arrival times. As benefits are expected for all vehicle types, public transport users will also experience improved reliability. |


| 7.7.10 | Each of the proposed options would provide Beneficial impacts in terms of Reliability, with the <br> Purple giving Moderate and the other options Slight, the reasoning being the Purple Route <br> offers a section of virtual bus priority on the old Buncrana Road. |
| :---: | :--- |
| 7.7 .11 | Economy - Wider Economic Impacts <br> This sub-objective reflects the economic benefits that the improvements would bring to Derry <br> and the surrounding area. |
| The improved infrastructure along Buncrana Road is considered to be an essential requirement |  |

## Accessibility - Option Values

7.7.15 This sub-objective is applicable to schemes which substantially change the availability of different transport modes within the study area. The scheme is considered as having a Neutral impact because no additional transport options are currently being offered by the scheme.

## Accessibility - Severance

7.7.16 Severance particularly affects non-motorised users, especially pedestrians. This sub-objective featured strongly with residents along Buncrana Road. Buncrana Road already suffers from a high degree of severance, with the high traffic levels discouraging pedestrians from making movements across the road. This is particularly acute in the urban section, with evidence from previous consultation events suggesting that residents feel their neighbourhood is dominated by Buncrana Road.
7.7.17 This Stage 2 assessment has not included pedestrian movement surveys and therefore a detailed appreciation of pedestrian requirements cannot be determined at this stage. Assumptions have been made regarding existing desire lines together with existing crossing locations to enable each of the routes to be qualitatively assessed.

## Red Route

7.7.18 Generally the Red Route would provide an additional lane in each direction which would have an adverse impact on severance. The pedestrian surveys to be undertaken in Stage 3 would take into account recorded pedestrian movements in order to ensure that pedestrian demand is suitably accommodated. The Stage 3 study would establish any increased distance that pedestrians would experience by crossing the road at the proposed dedicated crossing points as opposed to current movements.
7.7.19 The removal of properties at Collon Terrace and Collon Bar, proposed within the Red Route, would have a beneficial impact on severance since there would be less pedestrian movements across Buncrana Road. Overall the Red Route would have a Slight Adverse impact on severance.

## Blue Route

7.7.20

The impact of severance to the on-line improvement of the Blue Route would be identical to the Red Route.
7.7.21 Severance across Buncrana Road on the off-line section of the Blue Route would be improved by the reduction in traffic from two way to one way. However, there would be a wider impact
with the off-line section because of the additional road at the rear of Collon Terrace and Collon Bar.

| 7.7.22 | Pedestrians travelling along Racecourse Road and Springtown Road would benefit from the <br> new pedestrian phases in the signals, however the enlarged nature of the junction would mean <br> more crossings may have to be undertaken. |
| :---: | :--- |
| 7.7.23 | Taking into account the issues discussed above, the Blue Route is assessed as having a Slight <br> Adverse impact in terms of severance. |
| Purple Route |  |

## Navy Route

7.7.28 Severance on the Navy section of Buncrana Road is fairly limited at present since this section is predominantly rural and only the residents of Benview estate are affected. This section of the road is currently a single carriageway to the national speed limit.

| 7.7.29 | However, with implementation of the developments included in the Derry Area Plan, much of <br> the southern area in this section will be developed with residential properties. With these <br> developments, severance would become more of an issue, and the crossing of Buncrana Road <br> on foot would require careful consideration in terms of location and choice. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 7.7.30 | Pedestrian movements across Buncrana Road would increase as a result of the future <br> developments but the ability to cross Buncrana Road with the Navy Route would be the same <br> as the existing layout. The Navy Route is assessed as Neutral impact in terms of severance. |

## Accessibility - Access to the Transport System

7.7.31 This sub-objective concerns access to private and public transport. The options currently proposed do not include any improved access to transport systems. However the scheme does offer the potential for a park and ride facility should the bus lane improvements be included in future proposals. A park and ride scheme would improve access to public transport.
7.7.32 The scheme currently proposed is assessed as having Neutral benefits in terms of Access to Public transport.

## Conclusion

7.7.33 Between Pennyburn Roundabout and Skeoge Roundabout, the Red Route offers the best economic return, with the Blue Route performing second, and the Purple Route performing worst.
7.7.34

In terms of the additional sub-objectives, all routes generally perform equally with the exception of Severance, where the Purple Route outperforms the other two options.
7.7.35 Between Skeoge Roundabout and the Rol border, the Navy Route provides acceptable economic returns, and generally performs well with regards to the additional subobjectives.


8 Public Consultation

## Public Consultation

| 8.1 | Public Consultation April 2008 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 8.1.1 | A Public Exhibition was held at the Ramada Da Vinci's Hotel from 1st to 3rd April 2008. This <br> presented the public with the four proposed improvement options. |
| 8.1.2 | Prior to the event, approximately 800 letters and leaflets were distributed to both residential and <br> commercial properties in the surrounding area. Notices advertising the event were displayed in <br> local retail premises, and Roads Service prepared a news release to inform the local press, <br> resulting in articles in both local newspapers and radio. |
| 8.1.3 | A series of presentation boards were displayed which included information about the need and <br> impact of the proposal. Comments Sheets were distributed on the day to give the local <br> community and businesses the opportunity to express their preferences. |
| 8.1.4 | A total of 36 responses were received from members of the public and other interested parties, <br> representing a response rate of approximately 4.5 . |
| 8.1.5 | The exercise demonstrated a strong support for the Purple Route. Of the responses received, <br> $53 \%$ (19no.) were in support of the Purple Route option, 28\% (10no.) were in support of the <br> Red Route, 11\% (4no.) for the Blue Route and $8 \%$ (3no.) gave no indication of preference. |
| 8.1.6 | Further details on the event are contained within Faber Maunsell report entitled "Stage 2 <br> Community Consultation Day Report". |

8.2 Public Meeting April 2008
8.2.1 A public meeting was held immediately following the consultation on the evening of 3rd April 2008. This meeting was chaired by an independent facilitator.
8.2.2 At this meeting the public were given the opportunity to raise issues and indicate their preferences.
8.2.3 The Red Route was least favoured by those who attended because it would increase severance for the residents living along Buncrana Road and be a significant upheaval for the residents of Collon Terrace. The residents also foresaw increased difficulties turning right into the side roads.

The Blue Route also was not favoured because it was perceived that it did not offer any advantages over the Purple Route.
8.2.5 The Purple Route was the favoured option by attendees at the meeting as it does not require the demolition of Collon Terrace and removes through traffic from the urban section of Buncrana Road.
8.2.6

Further details on the event are contained within the report entitled "Report of Public Consultation Meeting on Proposed Improvements to Buncrana Road", prepared by the independent facilitator.


9 Recommendations

## 9 Recommendations

| 9.1 | Summary <br> This report summarised the Stage 2 Scheme Appraisal for the improvement of the A2 Buncrana <br> Road. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 9.1.1 |  |
| 9.2.1 | Pennyburn Roundabout to Skeoge Roundabout <br> Three routes have been developed between Pennyburn Roundabout and Skeoge Roundabout. <br> These are Red Route (on-line widening), Blue Route (on-line widening with diversion for <br> westbound traffic between Pennyburn Pass and Springtown Road) and Purple Route (on-line <br> widening with diversion for all traffic between Pennyburn Pass and Springtown Road). <br> Red Route |
| 9.2.2 | This was the joint second favoured option environmentally along with Blue. It was the cheapest <br> option, provided the best economic returns, and was second favourite choice with the local <br> community. |
| Blue Route |  |$\quad$| This was the joint second favoured option environmentally along with Red. It was the second |
| :--- |
| cheapest options to construct, and provided the second best economic returns. It was the |
| option least favoured by the local community. |

\&
Skeoge Roundabout to the Border with Rol \&
9.4.4 \% It is recommended that the Navy Route be taken forward for consideration in Stage 3, and that all sub-options for the re-alignment of Elagh Road are examined in more detail in Stage 3.
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## Appendix A - Appraisal Summary Tables

Appraisal Summary Tables (AST) A2 Buncrana Road Stage 2

## Red Route Option

Table 1 Red Route Option Assessment Summary Table

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\Sigma}{\Sigma}$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\Sigma}{z}$ | $\stackrel{\varangle}{Z}$ | $\frac{\Sigma}{z}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{\%} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\mathbf{z}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | -1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1N | IWNO | O4 | INE |  |  |

Appraisal Summary Tables (AST) A2 Buncrana Road Stage 2

| Scheme Option - Buncrana Road - A2 <br> Red Option |  | Description - On-line carriageway widening to provide two lanes of traffic in each direction from Pennyburn Roundabout to the Skeoge Roundabout. | Problems - Existing single carriageway lacks capacity becoming congested at peak hours. | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Present Value } \\ \text { Cost } £ \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBJECTIVE | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { SUB- } \\ \text { OBJECTIVE } \end{array}$ | QUALITATIVE IMPACTS | QUANTITATIVE MEASURE | ASSESSMENT |
|  | Water Environment | The Red Route Option would cross or is located adjacent to a number of watercourses including the River Foyle, Skeoge River, Ballymagrorty Stream and Pennyburn Stream as well as smaller streams and field drains. Construction works in the vicinity of these watercourses has the potential to release pollutants and sediments and thus impact upon the chemical and biological water quality of surface waters as well as impact on aquatic and riparian habitats. Adoption of appropriate mitigation measures as well as adherence to Pollution Prevention Guidance (PPGs) and the guidance contained within CIRIA Document C650 "Environmental Good Practice on Site" would maintain existing water quality and mitigate potential pollution impacts resulting from construction. <br> During operation, the Red Route Option will increase the impermeable highway area and consequently generate greater volumes of surface runoff. In the absence of mitigation, increased runoff rates have the potential to impact on the existing hydrological regime and result in flooding and impact on riparian and aquatic habitats. In addition, surface runoff could contain oils, fuels and sediment. Drainage based on Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS) would be installed to attenuate, treat and discharge carriageway runoff and minimise the significance of such effects. <br> A number of watercourses in the study area are designated under the terms of the Drainage (Northern Ireland) Order 1973. Any works, for example installation of new culverts, extension of existing culverts or land drainage, that may affect surface watercourses will require the prior consent and approval of the Rivers Agency. In addition the discharge of surface water would require to be licensed with the Northern Ireland Environment Agency Water Management Unit (EHS WMU). <br> Surface waters within the area are important salmonid waters; both the Skeoge River and Ballymagrorty Stream are designated salmonid under the Freshwater Fish Directive whilst the River Foyle is an important migratory route. The release of pollutants and sediments to these surface waters could adversely impact upon fish populations, however, the implementation of mitigation would reduce the potential for adverse effects. <br> The overall effect of the scheme will be to increase the impermeable area along the A2 corridor, with resultant increases in surface water runoff and the potential for pollutant loaded runoff to enter watercourses. However, taking into account the proposed mitigation measures to be incorporated during construction and operation, the overall impact is considered to be reduced to low significance. | N/A | Low <br> Significance |
|  | Physical Fitness | The on-line widening of the A2 would be unlikely to encourage further movements by pedestrians and cyclists, nor is it likely to discourage such movements. The route is provided for motorised transport to get into Londonderry from the west and the proposed widening may make it unattractive and more hazardous for pedestrians and cyclists to use. However, careful design may improve crossing facilities which may encourage pedestrian movements between residential areas on the one side of the carriageway and the commercial, employment and education facilities on the other. Likewise, cycle lanes may be provided to encourage continued / new cycle use of the area. | N/A | Neutral |
|  | Journey Ambience | Any road improvements will have a neutral effect on travellers stress because road improvements will be in pace with projected traffic growth. The improvements will impact on more than 10,000 motorists, the effect being largely beneficial. | N/A | Large Beneficial |
| SAFETY | Accidents | Pedestrian facilities at junctions and wider footways / cycleways will improve safety for pedestrians and cyclists. <br> However, accident disbenefits are likely to arise due to additional and revised junctions to signalised layouts. | -£1.48m | Moderate Negative |
|  | Security | Reduced fear at stop-lines, removal of 'partially concealed' areas. | N/A | Moderate Beneficial |

Appraisal Summary Tables (AST) A2 Buncrana Road Stage 2

| Scheme Option - - A2 | - Buncrana Road <br> Red Option | Description - On-line carriageway widening to provide two lanes of traffic in each direction from Pennyburn Roundabout to the Skeoge Roundabout. | Problems - Existing single carriageway lacks capacity becoming congested at peak hours. | Present Value <br> Cost $£$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBJECTIVE | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { SUB- } \\ \text { OBJECTIVE } \end{array}$ | QUALITATIVE IMPACTS | QUANTITATIVE MEASURE | ASSESSMENT |
| ECONOMY | Public Accounts | The Red Route performs well, despite a PVC of £23.7m, a high BCR and NPV is achieved. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { BCR } 9.98 \\ \text { NPV £213.3m } \end{array}$ | Moderate Beneficial |
|  | Business Users <br> \& Providers | Benefits in terms of time savings, delay savings and vehicle operating costs. | £121.6m | Moderate Beneficial |
|  | Consumer Users | Benefits in terms of time savings, delay savings and vehicle operating costs. | £123.8m | Moderate Beneficial |
|  | Reliability | Improved reliability as widened road will provide more capacity and hence more consistent journey times. Performs first out of three routes in terms of junction operation at Racecourse Rd \& Springtown Rd junctions. | N/A | Slight Beneficial |
|  | Wider Economic Impacts | Assists delivery of Area Plan developments and enhances Cross-Border links. | N/A | Moderate Beneficial |
| ACCESSIBILITY | Option values | No significant change. | N/A | Neutral |
|  | Severance | Additional lane in each direction may make crossing Buncrana Road more difficult for pedestrians but this is offset to a certain extent by improved provision for pedestrians. | N/A | Slight Negative |
|  | Access to the Transport System | No significant change. | N/A | Neutral |
| INTEGRATION | Transport Interchange | No significant change. | N/A | Neutral |
|  | Land-Use Policy | The A2 is designated as a protected route transport corridor. The study area is a mix of residential, commercial, industrial, agricultural, amenity and green belt land uses. At local and regional level, planning policies advocate the protection of lands within the greenbelt but there is also an emphasis on updating transport links to improve infrastructure so that sustainable transport policies can be created. <br> The on-line Red option will improve regional transport links between Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland in the north west. However, in the section from Pennyburn Roundabout to the Skeoge Roundabout, the widening of the road would be detrimental to the surrounding residential and commercial environment. | N/A | Beneficial |
|  | Other Government Policies | The scheme facilitates the 'Shaping Our Future - Regional Development Strategy For Northern Ireland 2025 ' in relation to improving infrastructure to turn Londonderry into a hub city for the North West. <br> The Regional Development Strategies promotes improved rural integration and development of transport routes, including public transport route. The scheme may develop public transport routes by ensuring consistent journey times, however, it is possible that community severance will be increased if community crossings are not integrated into road design. | N/A | Beneficial Effect |

Appraisal Summary Tables (AST) A2 Buncrana Road Stage 2

Appraisal Summary Tables (AST) A2 Buncrana Road Stage 2

| Scheme Option <br> - A2  <br> Buncrana Road  <br>   |  | Description - Predominantly on-line carriageway widening from Pennyburn Roundabout to the Skeoge Roundabout to provide two lanes of traffic in each direction with an off-line diversion between Pennyburn Pass and Springtown Road for west (country) bound traffic. | Problems - Existing single carriageway lacks capacity becoming congested at peak hours. | Present Value Cost $\varepsilon$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBJECTIVE | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { SUB- } \\ \text { OBJECTIVE } \end{array}$ | QUALITATIVE IMPACTS | QUANTITATIVE MEASURE | ASSESSMENT |
|  | Water Environment | The Blue Route Option would cross or is located adjacent to a number of watercourses including the River Foyle, Skeoge River, Ballymagrorty Stream and Pennyburn Stream as well as smaller streams and field drains. Construction works in the vicinity of these watercourses has the potential to release pollutants and sediments and thus impact upon the chemical and biological water quality of surface waters as well as impact on aquatic and riparian habitats. Adoption of appropriate mitigation measures as well as adherence to Pollution Prevention Guidance (PPGs) and the guidance contained within CIRIA Document C650 "Environmental Good Practice on Site" would maintain existing water quality and mitigate potential pollution impacts resulting from construction. <br> During operation, the Blue Route Option will increase the impermeable highway area and consequently generate greater volumes of surface runoff. In the absence of mitigation, increased runoff rates have the potential to impact on the existing hydrological regime and result in flooding and impact on riparian and aquatic habitats. In addition, surface runoff could contain oils, fuels and sediment. Drainage based on Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS) would be installed to attenuate, treat and discharge carriageway runoff and minimise the significance of such effects. <br> A number of watercourses in the study area are designated under the terms of the Drainage (Northern Ireland) Order 1973. Any works, for example installation of new culverts, extension of existing culverts or land drainage, that may affect surface watercourses will require the prior consent and approval of the Rivers Agency. In addition the discharge of surface water would require to be licensed with the Northern Ireland Environment Agency Water Management Unit (EHS WMU). <br> Surface waters within the area are important salmonid waters; both the Skeoge River and Ballymagrorty Stream are designated salmonid under the Freshwater Fish Directive whilst the River Foyle is an important migratory route. The release of pollutants and sediments to these surface waters could adversely impact upon fish populations, however, the implementation of mitigation would reduce the potential for adverse effects. <br> The overall effect of the scheme will be to increase the impermeable area along the A2 corridor, with resultant increases in surface water runoff and the potential for pollutant loaded runoff to enter watercourses. The increase in impermeable area is slightly greater for the Blue Route due to construction of the one-way off-line section and as a result it will generate slightly more runoff. However, taking into account the proposed mitigation measures to be incorporated during construction and operation, the overall impact is considered to be reduced to low significance. | N/A | Low Significance |
|  | Physical Fitness | The widening of the A2, utilising part of the road as one of the carriageways would result in the present non motorised user situation to remain, however it may result in an improved safety perceptions because it will have all the traffic moving in one direction around the residential areas. The on-line section of widening of the A2 would be unlikely to encourage further movements by pedestrians and cyclists, nor is it likely to discourage such movements. The route is provided for motorised transport to get into Londonderry from the west and the proposed widening may make it unattractive and more hazardous for pedestrians and cyclists to use. However, careful design may improve crossing facilities which may encourage pedestrian movements between residential areas on the one side of the carriageway and the commercial, employment and education facilities on the other. Likewise, cycle lanes may be provided to encourage continued / new cycle use of the area. | N/A | Neutral |
|  | Journey Ambience | Any road improvements will have a neutral effect on travellers stress because road improvements will be in pace with projected traffic growth. The improvements will impact on more than 10,000 motorists, the effect being largely beneficial | N/A | Large Beneficial |

Appraisal Summary Tables (AST) A2 Buncrana Road Stage 2

| Scheme Option - A2 | - Buncrana Road <br> Blue Option | Description - Predominantly on-line carriageway widening from Pennyburn Roundabout to the Skeoge Roundabout to provide two lanes of traffic in each direction with an off-line diversion between Pennyburn Pass and Springtown Road for west (country) bound traffic. | Problems - Existing single carriageway lacks capacity becoming congested at peak hours. | Present Value Cost $£$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBJECTIVE | SUB- OBJECTIVE | QUALITATIVE IMPACTS | QUANTITATIVE MEASURE | ASSESSMENT |
| SAFETY | Accidents | Pedestrian facilities at junctions and wider footways / cycleways will improve safety for pedestrians and cyclists. <br> However, accident disbenefits due to additional and revised junctions to signalised layouts | -£24.5m | Moderate Negative |
|  | Security | Reduced fear at stop-lines, removal of 'partially concealed' areas | N/A | Moderate Beneficial |
| ECONOMY | Public Accounts | The Blue Route performs fairly well, despite a PVC of $£ 27.7 \mathrm{~m}$, a moderate BCR and NPV is achieved. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { BCR } 7.57 \\ \text { NPV } £ 181.9 \mathrm{~m} \end{array}$ | Moderate Beneficial |
|  | Business Users \& Providers | Benefits in terms of time savings, delay savings and vehicle operating costs. | £120.2m | Moderate Beneficial |
|  | Consumer Users | Benefits in terms of time savings, delay savings and vehicle operating costs. | £119.6m | Moderate Beneficial |
|  | Reliability | Improved reliability as widened road will provide more capacity and hence more consistent journey times. Performs second out of three routes in terms of junction operation at Racecourse Rd \& Springtown Rd junctions. | N/A | Slight Beneficial |
|  | Wider Economic Impacts | Assists delivery of Area Plan developments and enhances Cross-Border links. | N/A | Moderate Beneficial |
| ACCESSIBILITY | Option values | No significant change | N/A | Neutral |
|  | Severance | Increased severance at Collon Terrace. Length of walk and cycle distances increased. Additional pedestrian crossings at signalised junctions improve wait times. | N/A | Slight Negative |
|  | Access to the Transport System | No significant change | N/A | Neutral |
| INTEGRATION | Transport Interchange | No significant change | N/A | Neutral |
|  | Land-Use Policy | The A2 is designated as a protected route transport corridor. The study area is a mix of residential, commercial, industrial, agricultural, amenity and green belt land uses. At local and regional level, planning policies advocate the protection of lands within the greenbelt but there is also an emphasis on updating transport links to improve infrastructure so that sustainable transport policies can be created. <br> The Blue option will improve regional transport links between Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland in the north west. | N/A | Beneficial |
|  | Other Government Policies | The scheme facilitates the 'Shaping Our Future - Regional Development Strategy For Northern Ireland 2025' in relation to improving infrastructure to turn Londonderry into a hub city for the North West. <br> The Regional Development Strategies promotes improved rural integration and development of transport routes, including public transport route. The scheme may develop public transport routes by ensuring consistent journey times, however, it is possible that community severance will be increased if community crossings are not integrated into road design. | N/A | Beneficial |

Appraisal Summary Tables (AST) A2 Buncrana Road Stage 2

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scheme Op } \\ & \text { - A2 } \end{aligned}$ | - Buncrana Road <br> Purple Option | Description - Predominantly on-line carriageway widening from the Pennyburn Roundabout to the Skeoge Roundabout to provide two lanes of traffic in each direction with on off-line diversion between Pennyburn Pass and Springtown Road for two way traffic. | Problems - Existing single carriageway lacks capacity becoming congested at peak hours. | Present Value Cost $\Sigma$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBJECTIVE | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { SUB- } \\ \text { OBJECTIVE } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | QUALITATIVE IMPACTS | QUANTITATIVE MEASURE | ASSESSMENT |
|  | Noise | Qualitative noise impact assessment for webTAG assessment will be undertaken at Stage 3. | No of Properties Do Scheme <br>  Min  <br> $\mathbf{0 - 1 0 0 m}$ 18 18 <br> $100 \mathrm{~m}-200 \mathrm{~m}$ 46 46 <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 m}-300 \mathrm{~m}$ 30 30 <br> F   <br> Further quantitative assessment will be undertaken at Stage 3. | N/A at this stage |
|  | Local Air Quality | Qualitative air quality impact assessment for webTAG assessment will be undertaken at Stage 3. | No of Properties Do Scheme <br>  Min  <br> $\mathbf{0 - 5 0 m}$ 175 118 <br> $50 \mathrm{~m}-100 \mathrm{~m}$ 162 176 <br> $100 \mathrm{~m}-150 \mathrm{~m}$ 169 164 <br> $150 \mathrm{~m}-200 \mathrm{~m}$ 183 221 <br> Further quantitative assessment will be undertaken at Stage 3. | N/A at this stage |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Greenhouse } \\ \text { Gases } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Climate change assessment will be undertaken at Stage 3. | N/A | N/A at this stage |
|  | Landscape | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Townscape | New dual carriageway through industrial area, but environment on existing road from Collon Terrace to Springtown Road would greatly improve due to removal of through traffic. Potential for landscape measures to further improve the streetscape. Roadside trees that screen the industrial /commercial areas of Springtown would be lost. Probable loss of some trees and front gardens on Buncrana Road west of Templemore Sports Complex. Replacement street tree planting may be possible along new footways/cycleways. Setting of St Patrick's Church adversely affected by larger road at frontage. | N/A | Minor Adverse |
|  | Heritage of Historic Resources | Four archaeological sites will be impacted by the proposed scheme: a site associated with the Siege of Derry, the route of the dismantled railway, a lamp post and there will be a visual impact on St Patrick's Church. The other sites are located sufficiently for enough away to remain unaffected. | N/A | Large Adverse |
|  | Biodiversity | Field work in the area has confirmed that the environment surrounding the scheme contains few areas of semi-natural vegetation or other areas with significant biodiversity. The most interesting ecological features along much of the route corridor are the semi-mature trees surrounding playing fields and planted screening between the road and adjacent industrial estates. In a few places areas of waste ground have begun to scrub over and this increases their biodiversity interest. Detailed vegetation survey, mammal surveys, hedgerow survey, breeding bird survey and wintering bird surveys have all been completed and no nationally or internationally protected species or habitats were recorded along the Purple Route. Although a badger sett has been recorded outside the study area to the north. The impact of this should be low if effectively mitigated through compensatory measures, such as a dedicated mammal crossing. | N/A | Moderate Adverse |

Appraisal Summary Tables (AST) A2 Buncrana Road Stage 2

| Scheme Option - Buncrana Road - A2 <br> Purple Option |  | Description - Predominantly on-line carriageway widening from the Pennyburn Roundabout to the Skeoge Roundabout to provide two lanes of traffic in each direction with on off-line diversion between Pennyburn Pass and Springtown Road for two way traffic. | Problems - Existing single carriageway lacks capacity becoming congested at peak hours. | Present Value Cost $£$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBJECTIVE | SUB- OBJECTIVE | QUALITATIVE IMPACTS | QUANTITATIVE MEASURE | ASSESSMENT |
|  | Water Environment | The Purple Route Option would cross or is located adjacent to a number of watercourses including the River Foyle, Skeoge River, Ballymagrorty Stream and Pennyburn Stream as well as smaller streams and field drains. Construction works in the vicinity of these watercourses has the potential to release pollutants and sediments and thus impact upon the chemical and biological water quality of surface waters as well as impact on aquatic and riparian habitats. Adoption of appropriate mitigation measures as well as adherence to Pollution Prevention Guidance (PPGs) and the guidance contained within CIRIA Document C650 "Environmental Good Practice on Site" would maintain existing water quality and mitigate potential pollution impacts resulting from construction. <br> During operation, the Purple Route Option will increase the impermeable highway area and consequently generate greater volumes of surface runoff. In the absence of mitigation, increased runoff rates have the potential to impact on the existing hydrological regime and result in flooding and impact on riparian and aquatic habitats. In addition, surface runoff could contain oils, fuels and sediment. Drainage based on Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS) would be installed to attenuate, treat and discharge carriageway runoff and minimise the significance of such effects. <br> A number of watercourses in the study area are designated under the terms of the Drainage (Northern Ireland) Order 1973. Any works, for example installation of new culverts, extension of existing culverts or land drainage, that may affect surface watercourses will require the prior consent and approval of the Rivers Agency. In addition the discharge of surface water would require to be licensed with the Northern Ireland Environment Agency Water Management Unit (EHS WMU). <br> Surface waters within the area are important salmonid waters; both the Skeoge River and Ballymagrorty Stream are designated salmonid under the Freshwater Fish Directive whilst the River Foyle is an important migratory route. The release of pollutants and sediments to these surface waters could adversely impact upon fish populations, however, the implementation of mitigation would reduce the potential for adverse effects. <br> The overall effect of the scheme will be to increase the impermeable area along the A2 corridor, with resultant increases in surface water runoff and the potential for pollutant loaded runoff to enter watercourses. The increase in impermeable area is greatest for the Purple Route due to construction of the two-way off-line section and as a result it will generate slightly more runoff. However, whilst of all the Options, the Purple Route is likely to generate the greatest volume of runoff and also has a greater potential for pollutant loaded runoff to enter surface waters it is considered that with the adoption of appropriate mitigation measures, the overall impact can be reduced to low significance. | N/A | Low Significance |
|  | Physical Fitness | The off line widening of the A2 would encourage non motorised users to use the existing/on-line section. However for the on-line section of the widening non motorised users would be dissuaded from using the main carriageway. The route is provided for motorised transport to get into Londonderry from the west and the proposed widening may make it unattractive and more hazardous for pedestrians and cyclists to use. However, careful design may improve crossing facilities which may encourage pedestrian movements between residential areas on the one side of the carriageway and the commercial, employment and education facilities on the other. Likewise, cycle lanes may be provided to encourage continued / new cycle use of the area. | N/A | Neutral |
|  | Journey Ambience | Any road improvements will have a neutral effect on travellers stress because road improvements will be in pace with projected traffic growth. The improvements will impact on more than 10,000 motorists, the effect being largely beneficial | N/A | Large Beneficial |
| SAFETY | Accidents | Pedestrian facilities at junctions and wider footways / cycleways will improve safety for pedestrians and cyclists. <br> However, accident disbenefits will result due to junctions revised to signalised layouts. | -£14.3m | Moderate Negative |

Appraisal Summary Tables (AST) A2 Buncrana Road Stage 2

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scheme Option- } \\ & \text {-A2 } \end{aligned}$ | - Buncrana Road <br> Purple Option | Description - Predominantly on-line carriageway widening from the Pennyburn Roundabout to the Skeoge Roundabout to provide two lanes of traffic in each direction with on off-line diversion between Pennyburn Pass and Springtown Road for two way traffic. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Problems - Existing single } \\ & \text { carriageway lacks capacity becoming } \end{aligned}$ congested at peak hours. | Present Value Cost $£$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBJECTIVE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUB- } \\ & \text { OBJECTIVE } \end{aligned}$ | QUALITATIVE IMPACTS | QUANTITATIVE MEASURE | ASSESSMENT |
|  | Security | Reduced fear at stop-lines, removal of 'partially concealed' areas. | N/A | Moderate Beneficial |
| ECONOMY | Public Accounts | The Purple Route performs poorly. | BCR 5.58 <br> NPV $£ 142.7 \mathrm{~m}$ | Slight Beneficial |
|  | Business Users <br> \& Providers | Benefits in terms of time savings, delay savings and vehicle operating costs. | £96.0m | Slight Beneficial |
|  | Consumer Users | Benefits in terms of time savings, delay savings and vehicle operating costs. | £97.5m | Slight Beneficial |
|  | Reliability | Improved reliability as widened road will provide more capacity and hence more consistent journey times. Performs third out of three routes in terms of junction operation at Racecourse Rd \& Springtown Rd junctions. Section of 'old' Buncrana Road would improve reliability for bus services. | N/A | Slight Beneficial |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Wider Economic } \\ & \text { Impacts } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Assists delivery of Area Plan developments and enhances Cross-Border links. | N/A | Moderate Beneficial |
| ACCESSIBILITY | Option values | No significant change | N/A | Neutral |
|  | Severance | Removes much of the existing traffic away from the 'old' Buncrana Road. Slight increase in pedestrian walk/cycling distances. | N/A | Slight Beneficial |
|  | Access to the Transport System | No significant change | N/A | Neutral |
| INTEGRATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Transport } \\ & \text { Interchange } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No significant change | N/A | Neutral |
|  | Land-Use Policy | The A2 is designated as a protected route transport corridor. The study area is a mix of residential, commercial, industrial, agricultural, amenity and green belt land uses. At local and regional level, planning policies advocate the protection of lands within the greenbelt but there is also an emphasis on updating transport links to improve infrastructure so that sustainable transport policies can be created. <br> The Purple option will improve regional transport links between Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland in the north west. | N/A | Beneficial |
|  | Other <br> Government <br> Policies | The scheme facilitates the 'Shaping Our Future - Regional Development Strategy For Northern Ireland 2025' in relation to improving infrastructure to turn Londonderry into a hub city for the North West. <br> The Regional Development Strategies promotes improved rural integration and development of transport routes, including public transport routes. The scheme may develop public transport routes by ensuring consistent journey times, however, it is possible that community severance will be increased if community crossings are not integrated into road design. | N/A | Beneficial Effect |

Appraisal Summary Tables (AST) A2 Buncrana Road Stage 2

| Scheme Option - Buncrana Road - A2 <br> Navy Option |  | Description - Predominantly on-line carriageway widening between the Skeoge Roundabout to the border with the Republic of Ireland to provide two lanes of traffic in each direction with a roundabout at the entrance of Elagh Business Park. | Problems - Existing single carriageway lacks capacity becoming congested at peak hours. |  | Present Value Cost $£$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBJECTIVE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUB- } \\ & \text { OBJECTIVE } \end{aligned}$ | QUALITATIVE IMPACTS | QUANTITATIV | EASURE | ASSESSMENT |
|  | Noise | Qualitative noise impact assessment for WebTAG assessment will be undertaken at Stage 3. | No Properties $\begin{aligned} & 0-100 m \\ & 100 m-200 m \\ & 200 m-300 m \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ <br> Further quanti be under | Do <br> Min Scheme <br>   <br> 18 18 <br> 46 46 <br> 30 30 <br> assessment will  <br> n at Stage 3.  | N/A at this stage |
|  | Local Air Quality | Qualitative air quality impact assessment for WebTAG assessment will be undertaken at Stage 3. | No of Properties <br> 0-50m <br> 50m-100m <br> $100 \mathrm{~m}-150 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> $150 \mathrm{~m}-200 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> Further quantif be under | Do <br> Min Scheme <br>   <br> 2 2 <br> 16 16 <br> 19 19 <br> 27 27 <br> e assessment will  <br> n at Stage 3.  | N/A at this stage |
|  | Greenhouse Gases | Climate change assessment will be undertaken at Stage 3. | N/A |  | N/A |
|  | Landscape | Most of the area between Londonderry and the Border has been zoned for development in Derry Area Plan 2011. Widening Buncrana Road would make a slight adverse effect on the landscape of this attractive valley, but the proposed Elagh Roundabout and link to Coshquin Road are sited on one of the remaining sections of Green Belt land between the city and the Border. | N/A |  | Moderate Adverse |
|  | Townscape | N/A | N/A |  | N/A |
|  | Heritage of Historic Resources | Five archaeological sites will be impacted by the proposed scheme, three direct impacts the possible location of a flax dam, the location of a small building and a stone bridge and two visual impacts on the gardens of Glengalliagh House and the scheduled monument of Castle Aileach. The context of a souterrain will also be negatively impacted. The other sites are located sufficiently far enough away to remain unaffected. | N/A |  | Large Adverse |
|  | Biodiversity | Field work in the area has confirmed that the environment surrounding the scheme contains a few areas of semi-natural vegetation or other areas with significant biodiversity. The most interesting ecological features along much of the route corridor are the semi-mature trees along filed margins and streams. Adjacent to the Elagh Business park, waste ground has begun to scrub over and this has increased the biodiversity interest of this site. Detailed vegetation survey, mammal surveys, hedgerow survey, breeding bird survey and wintering bird surveys have all be completed and a number of nationally or internationally protected species we recorded along the route. Since Stage 1 newts have been recorded as occurring in the ponds to the west of the Elagh Road and bats from the trees along the Elagh stream and mature trees near Benview Road. Newts are protected under the Wildlife (Northern Ireland) Order 1985 and bats are protected under the Conservation (Natural Habitats etc.) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1995 and The Conservation (Natural Habitats, etc.) (Amendment) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2007. | N/A |  | Moderate <br> Adverse |

Appraisal Summary Tables (AST) A2 Buncrana Road Stage 2

| Scheme Option - Buncrana Road - A2 <br> Navy Option |  | Description - Predominantly on-line carriageway widening between the Skeoge Roundabout to the border with the Republic of Ireland to provide two lanes of traffic in each direction with a roundabout at the entrance of Elagh Business Park. | Problems - Existing single carriageway lacks capacity becoming congested at peak hours. | Present Value <br> Cost $£$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBJECTIVE | SUB- OBJECTIVE | QUALITATIVE IMPACTS | QUANTITATIVE MEASURE | ASSESSMENT |
|  | Water Environment | The Navy Route Option would cross or is located adjacent to a number of watercourses including the Skeoge River and Ballymagrorty Stream as well as smaller streams and field drains. Construction works in the vicinity of these watercourses has the potential to release pollutants and sediments and thus impact upon the chemical and biological water quality of surface waters as well as impact on aquatic and riparian habitats. Adoption of appropriate mitigation measures as well as adherence to Pollution Prevention Guidance (PPGs) and the guidance contained within CIRIA Document C650 "Environmental Good Practice on Site" would maintain existing water quality and mitigate potential pollution impacts resulting from construction. <br> During operation, the Navy Route Option will increase the impermeable highway area and consequently generate greater volumes of surface runoff. In the absence of mitigation, increased runoff rates have the potential to impact on the existing hydrological regime and result in flooding and impact on riparian and aquatic habitats. In addition, surface runoff could contain oils, fuels and sediment. Drainage based on Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS) would be installed to attenuate, treat and discharge carriageway runoff and minimise the significance of such effects. <br> The Navy Option will require significant earthworks and as such an earthworks management plan will form the basis of mitigation to prevent sediment entering surface watercourses. <br> A number of watercourses in the study area are designated under the terms of the Drainage (Northern Ireland) Order 1973. Any works, for example installation of new culverts, extension of existing culverts or land drainage, that may affect surface watercourses will require the prior consent and approval of the Rivers Agency. In addition the discharge of surface water would require to be licensed with the Environment and Heritage Service Water Management Unit (EHS WMU). <br> Surface waters within the area are important salmonid waters; both the Skeoge River and Ballymagrorty Stream are designated salmonid under the Freshwater Fish Directive. The release of pollutants and sediments to these surface waters could adversely impact upon fish populations, however, the implementation of mitigation would reduce the potential for adverse effects. <br> The overall effect of the scheme will be to increase the impermeable area along the A2 corridor, with resultant increases in surface water runoff and the potential for pollutant loaded runoff to enter watercourses. The increase in impermeable area is slightly greater for the Navy Route due to construction of the one-way off-line section and as a result it will generate slightly more runoff. However, taking into account the proposed mitigation measures to be incorporated during construction and operation, the overall impact is considered to be reduced to low significance. | N/A | Low <br> Significance |
|  | Physical <br> Fitness | The on-line dualling and off-line roundabout placement would dissuade non motorised users from using the carriageway. The route is provided for motorised transport to get into Londonderry from the west and the proposed dualling may make it unattractive and more hazardous for pedestrians and cyclists to use. However, careful design may improve crossing facilities which may encourage pedestrian movements between residential areas on the one side of the carriageway and the commercial, employment and education facilities on the other. Likewise, cycle lanes may be provided to encourage continued / new cycle use of the area. | N/A | Neutral |
|  | Journey Ambience | Any road improvements will have a neutral effect on travellers stress because road improvements will be in pace with projected traffic growth. The improvements will impact on more than 10000 motorists, the effect being largely beneficial | N/A | Large Beneficial |

Appraisal Summary Tables (AST) A2 Buncrana Road Stage 2

| Scheme Option -- A2 | -Buncrana Road Navy Option | Description - Predominantly on-line carriageway widening between the Skeoge Roundabout to the border with the Republic of Ireland to provide two lanes of traffic in each direction with a roundabout at the entrance of Elagh Business Park. | Problems - Existing single carriageway lacks capacity becoming congested at peak hours. | Present Value Cost £ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBJECTIVE | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { SUB- } \\ \text { OBJECTIVE } \end{array}$ | QUALITATIVE IMPACTS | QUANTITATIVE MEASURE | ASSESSMENT |
| SAFETY | Accidents | Improvement in the form of central reservation and left-in/left-out junctions, better standard of road. | £3.7m | Moderate Beneficial |
|  | Security | No significant change | N/A | Neutral |
| ECONOMY | Public Accounts | The Navy Route performs well, despite a PVC of £11.6m, a high BCR and NPV is achieved. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { BCR } 10.56 \\ \text { NPV } £ 11.2 m \end{array}$ | Moderate Beneficial |
|  | Business Users <br> \& Providers | Benefits in terms of time savings, delay savings and vehicle operating costs. | £71.7m | Moderate Beneficial |
|  | Consumer Users | Benefits in terms of time savings, delay savings and vehicle operating costs. | £60.9m | Moderate Beneficial |
|  | Reliability | Improved reliability as widened road will provide more capacity and hence more consistent journey times. | N/A | Slight Beneficial |
|  | Wider Economic Impacts | Assists delivery of Area Plan developments and enhances Cross-Border links. | N/A | Moderate Beneficial |
| ACCESSIBILITY | Option values | No significant change | N/A | Neutral |
|  | Severance | Limited severance at present may be worsened by development of Area Plan zones with increased pedestrian demand. | N/A | Slight Negative |
|  | Access to the Transport System | No significant change | N/A | Neutral |
| INTEGRATION | Transport Interchange | No significant change | N/A | Neutral |
|  | Land-Use <br> Policy | The A2 is designated as a protected route transport corridor. The study area is a mix of residential, commercial, industrial, agricultural, amenity and green belt land uses. At local and regional level, planning policies advocate the protection of lands within the greenbelt but there is also an emphasis on updating transport links to improve infrastructure so that sustainable transport policies can be created. <br> The Navy option will allow better access into the existing industrial lands and residential communities which are adjacent to Buncrana Road, it will however, infringe upon the area of green belt which is to the east of the study area. |  | Beneficial |
|  | Other Government Policies | The scheme facilitates the 'Shaping Our Future - Regional Development Strategy For Northern Ireland 2025' in relation to improving infrastructure to turn Londonderry into a hub city for the North West. <br> The Regional Development Strategies promotes improved rural integration and development of transport routes, including public transport routes. The scheme may develop public transport routes by ensuring consistent journey times, however, it is possible that community severance will be increased if community crossings are not integrated into road design. |  | Beneficial Effect |

Worksheet 1: Appraisal Summary Tables (AST) A2 Buncrana Road Stage 2
Existing Route Option
Table 5 Do Nothing Option Assessment Summary Table

| Scheme Option A2 | - Buncrana Road - <br> Existing Option | Description - Existing single carriageway from Pennyburn Roundabout to the Rol border. | Problems - Existing single carriageway lacks capacity becoming congested at peak hours. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBJECTIVE | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { SUB- } \\ & \text { OBJECTIVE } \end{aligned}\right.$ | QUALITATIVE IMPACTS | QUANTITATIVE MEASURE | ASSESSMENT |
|  | Noise | Qualitative noise impact assessment will be undertaken at Stage 2. | No of Properties Do Min Scheme <br> $0-1000$ 340  <br> 0100 m   <br> 1000 m 356 340 <br> $200 \mathrm{~m}-300 \mathrm{~m}$ 312 356 | Neutral |
|  | Local Air Quality | Qualitative local air quality assessment will be undertaken at Stage 2. | No of Properties Do Min Scheme <br> $0-50 m$ 175  <br> $5000-1000$ 162 175 <br> $100 m-50 \mathrm{~m}$ 169 162 <br> $150 \mathrm{~m}-200 \mathrm{~m}$ 183 169 <br>  183  | Neutral |
|  | Greenhouse Gases | Climate change assessment will be undertaken at Stage 3 . | N/A | N/A |
|  | Landscape | Most of the area between Londonderry and the Border has been zoned for development in Derry Area Plan. The immediate landscape effect of the Do Nothing option is No Change. In future years, tratfic on the Buncrana Road could become busier and more congested, and this may result in a minor adverse impact on the landscape and local views. | N/A | Neutral |
|  | Townscape | Most of the area between Londonderry and the Border has been zoned for development in Derry Area Plan. The immediate landscape effect of the Do Nothing option is No Change. In future years, tratfic on the Buncrana Road could become busier and more congested, and this may result in a minor adverse impact on the landscape and local views. | N/A | Neutral |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Heritage of } \\ & \text { Historic } \\ & \text { Resources } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Under existing conditions there is a sight adverse impact on the context of a souterrain. | N/A | Slight Adverse |
|  | Biodiversity | Biodiversity impacts will only relate to an increased number of collisions between animals traversing the road resulting from the higher proiected traffic flow along the road. | N/A | Slight Adverse |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { Water } \\ \text { Environment } \end{array}$ | The water environment will only be affected if increased traffic results in unattenuated road runoff and spillages resulting from collisions brought on by increased traffic. | N/A | Slight Adverse |
|  | Physical Fitness | Non motorised road users would still have their existing amenities. There are existing pedestrian facilities on the A2 Buncrana Road, however if there is a projected increase in traffic, non motorised users may be dissuaded from using the carriageway. | N/A | Neutral |
|  | Journey <br> Ambience | Any road improvements will have a neutral effect on travellers stress because road improvements will be in pace with projected traffic growth. More than 10,000 motorists will be affected by not upgrading the Buncrana Road infrastructure. | N/A | Large Adverse |
| SAFETY | Accidents | The existing route has a relatively high recorded rate of collisions. | N/A | Moderate Adverse |
|  | Security | Traffic congestion on the existing route leads to fear for road users and non-motorised users crossing the road. | N/A | Moderate |
| ECONOMY | Public Accounts | Congestion on the road has a cost to the government in terms of lost working hours and an environmental cost in terms of noise and air pollution. | N/A | Moderate Adverse |

Worksheet 1: Appraisal Summary Tables (AST) A2 Buncrana Road Stage 2

| Scheme Option - Buncrana Road - <br> A2 <br> Existing Option |  | Description - Existing single carriageway from Pennyburn Roundabout to the Rol border. | Problems - Existing single carriageway lacks capacity becoming congested at peak hours. | Present Value Cost $£$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBJECTIVE | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { SUB- } \\ \text { OBJECTIVE } \end{array}$ | QUALITATIVE IMPACTS | QUANTITATIVE MEASURE | ASSESSMENT |
|  | Business Users \& Providers | Business users particularly experience cost due to delays and operating costs due to congestion, as their value of time is higher than for Consumer users. | N/A | Moderate Adverse |
|  | Consumer Users | Consumer users (typically commuters) experience costs in the form delays and operating costs. | N/A | Moderate Adverse |
|  | Reliability | Road users suffer from poor journey reliability due to congestion and occasional incidents. | N/A | Moderate Adverse |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Wider Economic } \\ & \text { Impacts } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | The local and wider economy suffers as a result of poor transport conditions along the corridor. | N/A | Moderate Adverse |
| ACCESSIBILITY | Option values | Transport options are fairly limited to private car use along the corridor. | N/A | Moderate Adverse |
|  | Severance | Communities along the corridor suffer from a degree of severance due to traffic congestion and limited opportunities for pedestrians to cross the road. | N/A | Moderate Adverse |
|  | Access to the Transport System | Very few public transport services on Buncrana Road severely limit access for people who do not have access to a car. | N/A | Moderate Adverse |
| INTEGRATION | Transport <br> Interchange | Not applicable. | N/A | N/A |
|  | Land-Use Policy | The A2 is designated as a protected route transport corridor. The study area is a mix of residential, commercial, industrial, agricultural, amenity and green belt land uses. At local and regional level, planning policies advocate the protection of lands within the greenbelt but there is also an emphasis on updating transport links so that a better infrastructure can exist so that sustainable transport policies can be created. | N/A | Neutral |
|  | Other <br> Government <br> Policies Policies | The existing road does not enhance regional development. | N/A | Neutral |
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Figure 5.1 Affected Residential Properties - Red Route (Pennyburn Roundabout to Skeoge Roundabout)


Figure 5.2 Affected Residential Properties - Blue Route (Pennyburn Roundabout to Skeoge Roundabout)

| Reproduced from the 1:50,000 map of 2005 by permission of the OSNI on behalf of the Controller of HMSO. Licensee Number 20083 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Client: ROADS Service, () | Title: <br> Stage 2 Environmental Assessment Air Quality Assessment | FABER MAUNSELL |  | AECOM | Design: AM | Mapinfo: AM |
|  |  |  |  | Chk'd: MM | App'd: CD |
| Project: 49675 IBLE A2 Buncrana Road |  | 24 Linenhall Street, | Tel: +44 |  | (0) 28990434900 | Date: 06.05.08 | Scale: Not to scale |
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Figure 5.3 Affected Residential Properties - Purple Route (Pennyburn Roundabout to Skeoge Roundabout)
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Figure 11.1 Predicted Red Route Impact - Pennyburn Roundabout to Branch Roundabout



Figure 11.3 Predicted Purple Route Impact - Pennyburn Roundabout to Branch Roundabout

This document has been prepared by Faber Maunsell Limited ("FM') for the sole use of our cilent (the 'Clientr) and in accordance with generally accepted consultancy principles, the budget for fees and the terms of reference agreed between FM and the Client.
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## Appendix C - Summary of Statutory Consultation Responses

| True |  | Sumame | OTSambaton | Company | Adatas 1 | Adatesa 2 | ${ }^{\text {cin }}$ | comy |  | ommam | Domenemand | rema | nemamo |  | momm | nempenmemm | nomme | Ratasa | nemame |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sir | or | Masam | Asosoditen o Communis | Dineain araco |  |  | Balasa |  | 8715268 | 210172008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mpone |
| st | or | Madam |  |  | avden tuoue | ${ }^{20}$ gotanay | Nota |  | B67\% OTT | 21012008 | 24012008 | Emal |  | "* | domy | ${ }^{\text {Bar }}$ |  |  |  |
| st | or | Madam | Bmabh Toeeom | Toepopenothuso |  |  | Balast |  | 1.45 | 210121208 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| st | or | Masam |  |  | Trovemax | Tratod | Vortak |  | $1{ }^{122} 280$ | 21012000 | S04200 | Enal |  |  | su | Soush |  |  | Thank you for your recent letter regarding the above study. As an independent scientific research charity, the BTO does not offer views on planning issues, but we are pleased to provide professional ornithological expertise. The BTO holds a number of ornithological data sets that may provide data relevant to your study. We do, however, have to charge an initial search lee of 225 subsequently purchase data as a result of the search (subject to a minimum charge of $£ 75+$ VAT). If you would like me to carry out a search, please would you let me know - an e-mail or phone call will suffice. $\qquad$ |
| sir | or | Madam |  | ${ }^{\text {ounary Coner }}$ | Nompenems | Oundosadd | Balast |  | ${ }^{\text {BrI6 }}$ | 210121208 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nownter respons seoeveretio date |
| str | or | Nadam |  |  | Usatronseoum | $\underbrace{\text { a }}_{\substack{\text { Eagane } \\ \text { gasoms }}}$ | Bollat |  | ${ }^{\text {Br9 } 5 ~} 8 \mathrm{AB}$ | 210121208 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sir | \% | Natam |  | Cenve Point |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Lorason }}$ |  |  | 2101212008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No ownere resones seaveverio oute |
| ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{\text {Lecina }}$ |  | 为 |  | Somen | ${ }^{33 \mathrm{tan} \text { Stroen }}$ | Bulast |  | ${ }_{\text {BTI } 12 . a ~}^{\text {a }}$ | 21012008 | 404200 | Enal |  | ms | kim | ${ }_{\text {Robin }}$ | Soceotaral |  |  |
| sir | or | Madam | DRa0 - oricateor P Poly |  | Simenem | Stomon | Balaser |  | ${ }_{\text {Bra }}^{4} 88$ | 2101212008 | 14002020 | Lr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sir | or | Madam |  | 4 Anfora Nath | comen | Stumon | Balasat |  | втass | 2101212008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nownerer Seponese ceavedet odate |
| ms | Nauren | Enesenn | Emmon |  | comat | Stomon | ${ }_{\text {salus }}$ |  | ss | 210 | Orezeros | Emal |  | ms | gllan | ONoll |  |  |  |
| ms | Canore | Tpong | Dand Fooen Sowne |  | Sountand |  | Itas |  | Bra 38 | 21012008 | 28012008 | Emal |  |  | Una | Savago |  |  |  |
| st | or | Madam | DARED Lounts Someny | Hasatuaras |  |  | noteremr |  | $2 \times 8$ | 1012008 | 2008 | Lt |  | D | ${ }^{\text {oodan }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Lamor }}$ | Somed |  |  |
| st | or | Madam | OARO Canaly Aestrama |  |  | Stemon | Bollast |  | ${ }^{\text {Bra } 438}$ | 21012008 | 011022008 | Lr | ${ }^{\text {pos } 8708}$ |  | Jan | mwison |  |  |  |
| st | or | Madam | Dafo fives Agony |  | ${ }^{37 \text { casamos }}$ foad |  | Cobasano |  | B51 3 PL | 21012008 | O1052008 | Lt |  | m | san | piosen |  |  | To <br>  |
| sr | or | Modam | Dafo dives Agoney |  |  |  | Colarino |  |  | 210121208 | 25012008 | Lt |  | $\cdots$ | Joade | Hato |  |  | Leterereasked |
| sr | or | Modam | Dano inves Ageory |  | Weasise | Oomin Road | Omanh |  | ${ }^{\text {B779985 }}$ | 210120008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sr | or | Madam | Dano Aives Sopery | Hasaduaras | Htaboak | 4 Hoposlat foas | Bolusas |  | вт8e.j | 2101212008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | or | Madam | DCAL Corval Mamoement |  | minepont |  | Bollast |  | Bris 100 | 21012000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mr | Bran | Fim |  |  | 1 Menor Road |  | Poradome |  | Btre zee | 21012000 | 140420208 | Lr |  | ms | Kanen | smmenen | Chet Exeo |  |  |
| m | ${ }^{\text {Jmm }}$ | Hapes | DCAL Lland filumeses |  | Intapoint |  | Solast |  |  | 21012008 | 28012008 | Emal |  | " | $\mathrm{Jmm}^{\text {m }}$ | Hapes |  |  |  |
| sir | or | Madam | DCCLL Llane Wamemas |  | mineopent |  | Bolasat |  | ${ }^{\text {Bris }}$ NaO | 21012000 | 08042008 | Emal |  |  | Kartroen | Coronen |  |  |  |
| m | gary | onell | n sammon Sataon |  | Chuect Stox | mls |  | Coantum |  | 2008 | O894208 | Emal |  | m | ${ }^{\text {ama }}$ | ONom |  |  | see attached letter which was issued in response to earlier correspondence with your colleague. At this stage I have no further comments to make on the scheme nor on the options outlined in your note to me of 7 th April. |
| mr | Eupone | fooney | Dopermenoto EEacaulon |  | Ratraeal tuso | Baluo food | Bamor |  | B1979PR | 212008 | 15022008 | Lt |  | ws | Heaner | ${ }^{\text {Balay }}$ |  |  |  |
| st | \% | Madam | Dogeamen ornat satal | Castesulidras | Sommanesabe |  | Balasan |  | Brasa | 210121208 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nowitur |
|  |  |  | Deny Civ Councl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{27722008}$ | Tatioe |  |  | Amo |  |  | Tot 7178585 |  |
| mr | Paut | Msswgan | Dory Cly Couner |  | nd foas. |  | Lomosocomy |  | BTr ${ }^{\text {a }}$ N | 210 | 19022008 | 4 | Sso | " | Nak | mccrineal |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  | $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 管 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{8}{\frac{8}{6}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 喜 |  |  | $\overline{\overline{\mathrm{g}}}$ 镸 |  |  |  |  | － | － |  |  | 亳 |  |  | 旁 |  | 西 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | － | 霏 | $\stackrel{ }{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{ }{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  | 岩 | ш | 亳 |  | ${ }_{\text {免 }}$ |  |  | 颜 | 谚 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 立 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{1}{2}$ |  | $\frac{\stackrel{1}{2}}{}$ |  | ¢ |  |  | $\frac{1}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 霆 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{\infty}{2} \\ & \text { 亲 } \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | \％ |  |  |  |  | 㡲 |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 高 | 离 |  |  |  |  | $\ddagger$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ |  | 高 |  |  | $\ddagger$ |  | $\pm$ |  |  |
| 筥 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 坒 } \\ & \text { 訁i } \\ & \text { io } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\square$ |  | ूㅡㅎ 흘 N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 亮 } \\ & \text { 흧 } \end{aligned}$ |  | \％ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 欹 } \\ & \text { 흐N } \end{aligned}$ |  | \％ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 咢 } \\ & \text { 흠 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 嵒 } \\ & \text { 흧 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 㞤 } \\ & \text { 萢 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{\boxed{N}}{N} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{4}{N} \\ & \hat{\omega} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 立 |  | $$ |  | 年 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { zo } \\ & \text { ( } \\ & \stackrel{y}{\omega} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 颜 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 高 | \％ |
| 言 |  |  | $\qquad$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 高 } \\ & \frac{\bar{W}}{\bar{\omega}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 吾部 |  | 纁 |  |  |  | 緟 |  | 硡 | 穏 |  | 咢 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 喜 | （e） |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\qquad$ |  |  | 厪 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ［ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 浐 |  |  |  |  |  | （1） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 隹 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 㜢 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \stackrel{訁}{0} \\ & \text { 言 } \\ & \text { 言 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 告 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 年 |  |  | 橧旁 |  |  |  | 浐 唇 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 蓑 } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | 镸 |  | 宸 |  | 谣 | 部唇 | 長 | 喜 |  |  | 宸 |  |
|  |  |  | 虚 | \％ | 言 | \％$=$ | $=\quad$ \％ |  |  |  | 唇部 | 点 | 渹 |  | ： |  | \％ | \％ | ¢ | － |  | を | ： | $\bigcirc \frac{\square}{\square}$ |
| $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\Sigma}$ | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ | 幺 | $\stackrel{5}{2}$ | 言 $\frac{1}{3}$ | そ $\bar{\square}$ |  |  |  | 気年 | 乡 | $\frac{\square}{2}$ |  | 亠幺 |  | $\overline{\text { ¢ }}$ | 婁言 | 晾 | ह̇ |  | צ | $\bar{\square}$ | 产交 |



|  |  |  |  | $\qquad$ |  |  |  | $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| － |  |  |  |  | （e） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 咢 |  | 碚 |  |  |  |  | 言 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\circ} \mathrm{⿺} \\ & \text { it } \\ & \frac{2}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 受 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 䪰 | \％ | ¢ |
|  | 亭 |  |  | 亏े | 良 | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{5}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\text {¢ }}$ | 독 |  |  | 礝 |  |  | 霛 |  |  | 5 | $\stackrel{\square}{\underline{\underline{2}}}$ | 䴩 | $\stackrel{\text { g }}{ }$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ |  |  |  | 立 | ¢ |  |  |  |  | $\frac{1}{2}$ | ¿ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | है |  |  | 立 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{5}$ | 衰 |  |  | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  | $\pm$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |  | $\ddagger$ |  |  | 三 |  |  | 『 | $\ddagger$ | 覅 | $\ddagger$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \%og } \\ & \text { 坒 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 蓇 |  |  | 咢 | \％ | 咢 | 碳 |
|  |  |  |  | 皆 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 毕 <br> 言 |  |  | 毕 |  |  | 敬 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \frac{0}{2} \\ \frac{2}{2} \\ \frac{2}{ㄹ} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \frac{0}{20} \\ \frac{2}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 胞 } \\ & \text { 玄 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 㗝 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{8} \\ & \text { 䔍 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{0}{0} \\ & \text { 䔍 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {琂 }}$ | 敛 |  |
| 倉 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 镸 |  |  |  |  |  | \％ | 皆 | 㾔 |  |
| 立 |  | $\left.\frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\underline{w}}}{\frac{1}{m}} \right\rvert\,$ |  | 离 |  |  |  | 咅\| | 离哑 |  |  | 悥 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \frac{2}{2} \\ \text { in } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{5}{\text { cid }}$ | 嘅 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ［ |  |  | 总 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \frac{5}{2} \\ \frac{2}{4} \\ \hline \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | ${ }^{\text {and }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | （1） |  | （1） |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 产 | 筫 | $\frac{\text { 矿 }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\overline{\mathrm{I}}} \\ & \text { 唇 } \end{aligned}$ | 愿置 |  | 諒 | 㕊 |  |  | 唇 | 旁 | 交 |  |  |  | $\underbrace{\frac{2}{2}}$ |  |  |  | 唇 | 唇 | 年 |
| 䅉 | \％ |  | ¢ | 毫 | 豆 | \％ | $\%$ | 㝘 | \％ |  |  | \％ | 安 | 釈 | 咅 | ： | \％ 5 \％ |  |  | 言 | 离 | \％ | \％ | － |
| 貫 | 亠幺 | $\frac{5}{2}$ | 玄 | $\stackrel{5}{2}$ | $\stackrel{5}{\Sigma}$ | 言 | 匋言 | $\frac{5}{2}$ | 亠幺 | 0 |  | 玄 | $\stackrel{n}{2}$ | $\frac{\square}{2}$ | $\stackrel{5}{2}$ | $\overline{\text { ¢ }}$ | 言司童 | $\frac{2}{2}$ | ミ | $\stackrel{5}{\Sigma}$ | 亠幺 | 亠 | 玄 | $\frac{\square}{2}$ |



## Appendix D - Results of Economic Sensitivity Test

## Appendix D - Results of Economic Sensitivity Test

| Project: | A2 Buncrana Road | Job No: | $\mathbf{6 0 0 3 3 9 7 5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Subject: | Stage 2 Economic Analysis Sensitivity Tests | Date: | $\mathbf{0 4}$ November 2008 |

### 1.1 Introduction

This Technical Note has been prepared to discuss the Sensitivity Assessments undertaken for the economic analysis element of the A2 Buncrana Road widening scheme. The following test has been undertaken:

- A set of 'alternative' future year flows have been produced, using observed data factored up to the future year (2015). These flows also include the trips associated with 1,000 no. houses builtout on the $\mathrm{H} 1 / \mathrm{H} 2$ / lands.


### 1.2 Sensitivity Test Procedure

## Sensitivity Test Flows

Given that the Derry Transport Model (DTM) forecasts significant levels of traffic on the network at 2015 (primarily as a result of the trips associated with the full build-out of the $\mathrm{H} 1 / \mathrm{H} 2$ lands), it was decided that sensitivity tests be undertaken using observed flows factored up by NRTF Central growth to 2015, together with the inclusion of trips associated with only a partial build-out of $\mathrm{H} 1 / \mathrm{H} 2$, in this case 1,000 houses.

As such, flows from the various Automatic Traffic Counter (ATC) surveys recorded in and around Buncrana Road between 2006 and 2008 were used to form the basis of determining daily 7-day AADT flows on the network. In addition, turning count data at the various junctions from both 2006 and 2008 was used to determine traffic movements for use in the COBA model.

NRTF Central growth rates were used to factor the 2006 and 2008 flows up to the 2015 assessment year. COBA then extrapolates additional year traffic flows for each of the remaining assessment years by way of the NRTF growth rates.

## H1/H2 Traffic

The trips associated with a build-out of 1,000 houses on the $\mathrm{H} 1 / \mathrm{H} 2$ lands were determined as follows. Daily $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile trip rates from TRICS 2008(b) suggested 10.188 daily trips per unit, given a total of 10,188 trips associated with the $\mathrm{H} 1 / \mathrm{H} 2$ lands. It was further assumed that the split between H 1 and H 2 was 50:50, with therefore 500 units effectively each located to the east and west of Skeoge roundabout.

The traffic distribution was determined as follows:

- $70 \%$ of traffic would use Buncrana Road (S)
- $20 \%$ of traffic would use Skeoge Link
- The remaining 10\% would use Buncrana Rd (N)
- Given the movements observed at Branch Roundabout, the $70 \%$ on Buncrana Road would split to $50 \%$ on Buncrana Road further south and $20 \%$ on Branch Road itself.


## Comparison of traffic levels

These development trips were added to the 2015 'background' traffic to produce the assessment flows. A comparison between the DTM and the Sensitivity Test flows is made in Table 1 for selected roads coded within the COBA network, which reveals that in general, the flows in the sensitivity test are much lower than those in the DTM. The Sensitivity Test flows are reproduced at the end of this note.

Table 1 - Comparison of two model scenario flows

| Road | 2015 Model Flow (7-day AADT) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Derry Model (DE2B) | Sensitivity Test |
| Buncrana Road north of Skeoge Roundabout | 36,386 | 19,858 |
| Buncrana Road south of Skeoge Roundabout | 49,154 | 24,747 |
| Buncrana Road north of Branch Roundabout | 45,494 | 28,531 |
| Buncrana Road south of Branch Roundabout | 27,653 | 23,202 |
| Buncrana Road south of Springtown Road | 17,132 | 26,444 |
| Buncrana Road north of Racecourse Road | 16,011 | 26,543 |
| Buncrana Road south of Racecourse Road | 28,088 | 29,727 |
| Skeoge Link | 38,195 | 15,167 |
| Templemore Road | 20,492 | 19,690 |
| Branch Road | 37,400 | 30,788 |
| Springtown Road | 16,213 | 9,055 |
| Racecourse Road | 16,028 | 19,327 |
| Pennyburn Industrial Estate | 11,541 | 11,192 |

### 1.3 Results - Revised Models with Sensitivity Test flows

The results of the assessment using the Sensitivity Test flows are presented in Table 2. The results show much lower BCRs than those shown in Table 7.16 of the A2 Buncrana Road Improvements Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report (Stage 2 SAR) and this is due to the lower traffic flows produced in the Sensitivity Test. However, the Red Route still produces the best NPV/BCR, followed by the Blue and then Purple Routes.

Table 2 - Results for COBA Assessments using Sensitivity Test flows

| Impact |  | Red | Blue | Purple | Navy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Consumer User Benefits (£000s) | £64,340 | £70,294 | £66,907 | £24,474 |
| $B$ | Business User Benefits (£000s) | £62,267 | £70,948 | £66,446 | £31,753 |
| $C$ | Private Sector Provider Impacts (£OOOs) | £1,060 | £758 | £727 | £410 |
| D | Accident Benefits (£000s) | -£1,458 | -£27,625 | -£14,359 | £7,556 |
| E | Emissions Benefits | $£ 548$ | £366 | £349 | £885 |
| $G$ | Present Value of Benefits (PVB) $(=A+B+C+D+E)$ | £126,758 | £114,741 | £120,069 | £66,078 |
| H | Present Value of Costs (PVC) | £28,479 | £30,845 | £34,193 | £19,159 |
|  | Net Present Value (NPV) (£OOOs) $(=F-G)$ | £98,279 | £83,895 | £85,876 | £46,919 |
|  | Benefit to Cost Ratio (BCR) $(=F / G)$ | 4.451 | 3.720 | 3.512 | 3.449 |

The results in Table 3 show the same COBA assessments however with the 'Indirect Tax Revenues' removed. With this element removed, each of the scheme's NPVs/BCRs improve, and the Red Route still performs best of the three options.

Table 3 - Revised Sensitivity Test results with Indirect Tax Revenues removed

| Impact | Red | Blue | Purple | Navy |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indirect Tax Revenues | $-£ 4,730$ | $-£ 3,150$ | $-£ 3,008$ | $-£ 7,525$ |
| Revised Present Value of Benefits (PVB) | $£ 122,028$ | $£ 111,591$ | $£ 117,061$ | $£ 58,553$ |
| Revised Present Value of Costs (PVC) | $£ 23,749$ | $£ 27,695$ | $£ 31,185$ | $£ 11,634$ |
| Net Present Value (NPV) (£000s) | $£ 98,279$ | $£ 83,896$ | $£ 85,876$ | $£ 46,919$ |
| Revised Benefit to Cost Ratio (BCR) | 5.138 | 4.029 | 3.754 | 5.033 |

Table 4 reflects the results of the assessments when the Navy route is combined with each of the three other routes. This table is comparable with Table 7.17 of the Stage 2 SAR.

Table 4 - Revised results for combined COBA Assessments using Sensitivity Test flows

| Impact |  | Red+Navy | Blue+Navy | Purple+Navy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Consumer User Benefits (£000s) | £60,653 | £56,401 | £50,225 |
| $B$ | Business User Benefits (£000s) | £59,191 | £58,860 | £50,647 |
| C | Private Sector Provider Impacts (£000s) | £1,124 | £546 | £426 |
| D | Accident Benefits (£OOOs) | £3,045 | -£23,143 | -£10,574 |
| $E$ | Emissions Benefits | £535 | £188 | £116 |
| G | Present Value of Benefits (PVB) $(=A+B+C+D+E)$ | £124,548 | £92,852 | £90,840 |
| H | Present Value of Costs (PVC) | £40,117 | £41,093 | £43,999 |
|  | Net Present Value (NPV) (£OOOs) ( $=F-G$ ) | £84,431 | £51,759 | £46,841 |
|  | Benefit to Cost Ratio (BCR) ( $=F / G$ ) | 3.105 | 2.260 | 2.065 |

Table 5 reflects the results of the above assessments with the removal of Indirect Tax Revenues.
Table 5 - Revised combined Sensitivity Test results with Indirect Tax Revenues removed

| Impact | Red+Navy | Blue+Navy | Purple+Navy |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indirect Tax Revenues | $-£ 4,604$ | $-£ 1,611$ | $-£ 1,003$ |
| Revised Present Value of Benefits (PVB) | $£ 119,944$ | $£ 91,241$ | $£ 89,837$ |
| Revised Present Value of Costs (PVC) | $£ 35,513$ | $£ 39,482$ | $£ 42,996$ |
| Net Present Value (NPV) (£O00s) | $£ 84,431$ | $£ 51,759$ | 46,841 |
| Revised Benefit to Cost Ratio (BCR) | 3.377 | 2.311 | 2.089 |

### 1.4 Conclusion

The above revised analyses suggest the following:

- Using the Sensitivity Test flows, the Red Route performs best, followed by Blue and then Purple, however the BCRs produced are much closer together (ranging between $4.5-3.5$ ) than the BCRs included in the Stage 2 SAR (ranging between $10.0-5.6$ ).
- Removing the Indirect Tax Revenues enables the Red Route to perform noticeably better than the other two routes in both flow scenarios (i.e. when the three routes are considered on their own, and when combined with the Navy Route).


## SENSITIVITY TEST FLOWS
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